TUHEP-TH-09170

Computation of the coefficients for the order p^6 anomalous chiral Lagrangian

Shao-Zhou Jiang[1,2*], and Qing Wang[1,2†‡]

[1] *Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P.R. China*
[2] *Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P.R. China*[§]

We present the results of computing the order p^6 low energy constants in the anomalous part of the chiral Lagrangian for both two and three flavor pseudoscalar mesons. This is a generalization of our previous work on calculating the order p^6 coefficients for the normal part of the chiral Lagrangian in terms of the quark self energy $\Sigma(p^2)$. We show that most of our results are consistent with those we have found in the literature.

PACS numbers: 12.39.Fe, 11.30.Rd, 12.38.Aw, 12.38.Lg

arXiv:1001.0315v2 [hep-ph] 12 Mar 2010

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

It is well known that the chiral symmetry in quantum chromodynamics (QCD) suffers anomalies due to the non-invariance of the path integral measure of the quark fields under the chiral symmetry transformation. The anomaly reflects the fact that the classical chiral symmetry may be violated by quantum corrections. At the level of the effective chiral Lagrangian for the pseudoscalar meson field U, anomaly no longer comes from the path integral measure. Instead it is due to the non-invariance of the effective chiral Lagrangian. If we denote by $\Gamma_{\rm eff}[U,J]$ the effective action for the pseudoscalar meson field U and the external source J, then this non-invariance can be expressed as

$$\Gamma_{\rm eff}[U,J]-\Gamma_{\rm eff}[U_\Omega,J_\Omega]=\Gamma[\Omega,J]\ , \tag{1}$$

where $U_\Omega\equiv\Omega^\dagger U\Omega^\dagger$ and $J_\Omega\equiv[\Omega P_R+\Omega^\dagger P_L][J+\partial\!\!\!/][\Omega P_R+\Omega^\dagger P_L]$. $\Gamma[\Omega,J]$ is the anomaly from the light quark path integral measure $\mathcal{D}\bar\psi_\Omega\mathcal{D}\psi_\Omega=\mathcal{D}\bar\psi\mathcal{D}\psi\ e^\Gamma$ or the well known Wess-Zumino-Witten term. We can formally express it as

$$\Gamma[\Omega,J]\ =\ -\ln{\rm Det}[(\Omega P_R+\Omega^\dagger P_L)(\Omega P_R+\Omega^\dagger P_L)]=-{\rm Tr}\ln[\partial\!\!\!/+J_\Omega]+{\rm Tr}\ln[\partial\!\!\!/+J]\ , \tag{2}$$

Because for N_f light quarks, each generator of the chiral symmetry $SU(N_f)_L\otimes SU(N_f)_R/SU(N_f)_V$ corresponds to a Goldstone boson, which is treated phenomenologically as the physical pseudoscalar meson field, the phase angle of the chiral rotation group element Ω can be treated as the pseudoscalar meson field, i.e. $U=\Omega^2$. Then comparing (1) and (2), we can rewrite the effective action $\Gamma_{\rm eff}$ as

$$\Gamma_{\rm eff}[U,J]=-{\rm Tr}\ln[\partial\!\!\!/+J_\Omega]+{\rm Tr}\ln[\partial\!\!\!/+J]+F[U,J]\qquad\qquad F[U,J]=F[U_\Omega,J_\Omega] \tag{3}$$

The U and J dependence for $F[U,J]$ is not fixed by (1), but $F[U,J]$ is invariant on $U\to U_\Omega$ and $J\to J_\Omega$. Hence $F[U,J]$ represents those chiral invariant terms. In fact $U_\Omega=\Omega^\dagger\Omega^2\Omega^\dagger=1$, and $\Gamma_{\rm eff}[U_\Omega,J_\Omega]=\Gamma_{\rm eff}[1,J_\Omega]=-{\rm Tr}\ln[\partial\!\!\!/+J_\Omega]+{\rm Tr}\ln[\partial\!\!\!/+J_\Omega]+F[U_\Omega,J_\Omega]=F[U,J]$. Note that the effective action is the path integration result for $S_{\rm eff}[U,J]$, the action of the effective chiral Lagrangian for the pseudoscalar meson field U and the external source J,

$$e^{-\Gamma_{\rm eff}[U_{cl},J]}=\int\mathcal{D}U\ e^{-S_{\rm eff}[U,J]}\qquad\qquad U_{cl}(x)\equiv\int\mathcal{D}U\ U(x)\ e^{-S_{\rm eff}[U,J]}\ , \tag{4}$$

where the second equation gives the definition of U_{cl} which fixes U_{cl} as the functional of the external source J. With (3), (4) becomes

$$e^{{\rm Tr}\ln[\partial\!\!\!/+J_\Omega]-{\rm Tr}\ln[\partial\!\!\!/+J]-F(U_{cl},J)}=\int\mathcal{D}U\ e^{-S_{\rm eff}[U,J]}\ . \tag{5}$$

Ref.[1],[2],[3] choose as an approximation

$$S_{{\rm eff},0}[U,J]=-{\rm Tr}\ln[\partial\!\!\!/+J_\Omega]+{\rm Tr}\ln[\partial\!\!\!/+J]\ , \tag{6}$$

* Email:jsz@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn.
† Email: wangq@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn.
‡ corresponding author
§ mailing address

where subscript $_0$ is used to denote the approximation. From (1), (3) and (5), we find that under the chiral symmetry transformation, $S_{\rm eff,0}[U,J]$, defined in (6), is not invariant. Substituting (6) back into (5) and using standard loop expansion as developed in Ref.[4], we find $F[U_{cl},J]$ is the pure loop correction from the action $S_{\rm eff,0}[U,J]$. From the action (6), one can calculate various low energy constants (LECs) of the effective chiral Lagrangian for pseudoscalar mesons. In Ref.[5], we call (6) the anomaly approach. In our previous paper [6], we have shown that the finite order p^4 LECs of the normal part of $S_{\rm eff,0}[U,J]$ are exactly canceled by the summation of all the p^6 and higher order terms. Eq.(2) further shows that even for the anomalous part, $S_{\rm eff,0}[U,J]$ only contributes the Wess-Zumino-Witten term; it cannot produce the p^6 and higher order anomaly terms. This absence of the normal part and the p^6 and more higher order anomalous part reflects the fact that the choice of (6) is not correct, although it offers the correct Wess-Zumino-Witten term. Further, (6) is independent of the strong interaction dynamics, i.e., even we switch off the quark-gluon interaction by deleting the strong interaction coupling constant, (6) is not changed. These facts imply that we need to add some strong dynamics dependent correction term $\Delta S_{\rm eff}[U,J]$ to $S_{\rm eff,0}[U,J]$ as given in (6),

$$S_{\rm eff}[U,J]=S_{\rm eff,0}[U,J]+\Delta S_{\rm eff}[U,J]\ . \tag{7}$$

From (5) and (6), we find that $\Delta S_{\rm eff}[U,J]$, introduced in (7), must be invariant under chiral symmetry transformations. In Refs.[7] and [8], $\Delta S_{\rm eff}[U,J]$ is taken to be

$$\Delta S_{\rm eff}[U,J]={\rm Tr}\ln[\partial\!\!\!/+J_\Omega+\Sigma(-\bar\nabla^2)] \tag{8}$$

with Σ being the quark self energy satisfying the Schwinger-Dyson equation (SDE) and $\bar\nabla^\mu$ is defined as $\bar\nabla^\mu\equiv\partial^\mu-iv^\mu_\Omega$. This expression for $\Delta S_{\rm eff}[U,J]$ encodes the dynamics of the underlying QCD through quark self energy Σ and in Ref.[9], we have shown that (8) does not produce the Wess-Zumino-Witten term ensuring the correctness of (1).

In Ref.[7], we have calculated the orders p^2 and p^4 normal part LECs in terms of the action (7) and (8). The importance of knowledge of LECs of the chiral Lagrangian, especially for order p^6 LECs was emphasized in Ref.[10]. Recently, in Ref.[6], we improved the computation procedure and generalized the calculations up to the order p^6 normal part LECs. In Ref.[9], we have calculated the p^4 order anomalous part and shown that the Σ dependent coefficient generates the correct coefficient N_c for the Wess-Zumino-Witten term. It is the purpose of this paper to calculate all order p^6 LECs for the anomalous part of the chiral Lagrangian (7). In fact the general structure of the p^6 order anomalous part chiral Lagrangian was first given by Refs.[11] and [12] and later clarified by Refs.[13] and [14]. Ref.[15] estimates the values of several of the order p^6 LECs for the anomalous part of the chiral Lagrangian. Although order p^6 LECs for the normal part of the chiral Lagrangian seem attract more attentions in the literature (see references given in [6]), they are the next next to leading order terms. The order p^6 LECs for the anomalous part of the chiral Lagrangian are belong to the next leading order terms.

This paper is organized as follows: in Sec.II, we review the calculation of the order p^4 anomalous part of the chiral Lagrangian in terms of the action (7). With the method used in section II, in Sec.III, we compute the order p^6 LECs for the anomalous part of the chiral Lagrangian, and obtain the analytical expression for the LECs in terms of quark self energy Σ. We further compute the numerical values for these LECs. We compare our results with those obtained in literature. Sec.IV is the summary and future directions of our work. We list some necessary tables and formulae in appendices.

II. REVIEW THE ORDER p^4 ANOMALOUS PART OF THE CHIRAL LAGRANGIAN

For the anomalous part of the chiral Lagrangian, the leading nontrivial order is p^4 and it is the well known Wess-Zumino-Witten term. In Ref.[9], we have calculated the action (7) by several different methods and all obtain the same Wess-Zumino-Witten term. If we naively apply these methods to the next to leading order p^6 computations, we will find that they are too complex to be achieved even with the help of the computer. In this section, we build a method which is suitable to be generalized to the order p^6 calculations. The order p^4 of the anomalous chiral Lagrangian is here only to be used to explain our method. Ref.[9] only expresses the Wess-Zumino-Witten term in terms of a parameter integration. In this section, we will explicitly finish this parameter integration and show that it does recover the Wess-Zumino-Witten term.

Since we are only interested in the U field dependent part of the anomalous part of the chiral Lagrangian, we can drop out the pure source terms. Then our choice of $\Delta S_{\rm eff}[U,J]$ in (8) gives the result that only Σ dependent terms in $\Delta S_{\rm eff}[U,J]$ contribute to the chiral Lagrangian, while the Σ independent terms in $\Delta S_{\rm eff}[U,J]$ are completely canceled by the term $-{\rm Tr}\ln[\partial\!\!\!/+J_\Omega]$ in $S_{\rm eff,0}[U,J]$, leaving a pure U field independent term ${\rm Tr}\ln[\partial\!\!\!/+J]$. So what we need to compute is

$$S_{\rm eff}[U,J]=\Big[{\rm Tr}\ln[\partial\!\!\!/+J_\Omega+\Sigma(-\bar\nabla^2)]-{\rm Tr}\ln[\partial\!\!\!/+J+\Sigma(-\nabla^2)]\Big]_{\Sigma\ \rm dependent}\ , \tag{9}$$

in which we have added in $S_{\rm eff}[U,J]$ an extra pure source term $-{\rm Tr}\ln[\not\partial + J + \Sigma(-\nabla^2)]\Big|_{\Sigma\ \rm dependent}$ for later use, and we define $\nabla^\mu \equiv \partial^\mu - iv^\mu$. Now we write Ω as $\Omega = e^{-i\beta}$ and further introduce a parameter t dependent rotation element $\Omega(t) = e^{-it\beta}$. With the help of the relation $\Omega(1) = \Omega$ and $\Omega(0) = 1$, (9) becomes

$$S_{\rm eff}[U,J] = {\rm Tr}\ln[\not\partial + J_{\Omega(t)} + \Sigma(-\nabla_t^2)]\Big|_{t=0,\ \Sigma\ \rm dependent}^{t=1} \qquad \nabla_t^\mu = \overline{\nabla}^\mu\Big|_{\Omega\to\Omega(t)} \tag{10}$$

with $\nabla_t^\mu = \partial^\mu - iv^\mu_{\Omega(t)}$. $J_{\Omega(t)}$ is J_Ω with Ω replaced by $\Omega(t)$. We decompose J_Ω as $J_\Omega = -i\not v_\Omega - i\not a_\Omega\gamma_5 - s_\Omega + ip_\Omega\gamma_5$, so we can also decompose $J_{\Omega(t)}$ as $J_{\Omega(t)} = -i\not v_t - i\not a_t\gamma_5 - s_t + ip_t\gamma_5$. Result (10) implies that our chiral Lagrangian can be expressed as the difference of Trln$(\cdots)$ at t dependent chiral rotation between $t=1$ and $t=0$. Since the t dependent rotated source $J_{\Omega(t)}$ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial J_{\Omega(t)}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}[\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger\gamma_5, \not\partial + J_{\Omega(t)}]_+ \qquad U_t = \Omega^2(t)\ , \tag{11}$$

we can further proceed to express the chiral Lagrangian in terms of integration over the parameter t:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\rm eff}[U,J] &= \int_0^1 dt\ \frac{d}{dt}{\rm Tr}\ln[i\not\partial + J_{\Omega(t)} + \Sigma(-\nabla_t^2)]\Big|_{\Sigma\ \rm dependent} \\ &= \int_0^1 dt\ {\rm Tr}\Big[[\frac{\partial J_{\Omega(t)}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial\Sigma(-\nabla_t^2)}{\partial t}][i\not\partial + J_{\Omega(t)} + \Sigma(-\nabla_t^2)]^{-1}\Big]_{\Sigma\ \rm dependent} \\ &= \int_0^1 dt\ {\rm Tr}\Big[\Big(\frac{1}{2}[\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger\gamma_5, \not\partial + J_{\Omega(t)}]_+ + \frac{\partial\Sigma(-\nabla_t^2)}{\partial t}\Big)[i\not\partial + J_{\Omega(t)} + \Sigma(-\nabla_t^2)]^{-1}\Big]_{\Sigma\ \rm dependent}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

(12) is the main formula we rely on to calculate LECs. Ref.[9] explicitly calculates the order p^4 anomalous part of the r.h.s. of (12) and finds the result

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\rm eff}[U,J]\Big|_{\rm anomalous\ p^4} = &-2N_c\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\int d^4x\int_0^1 dt\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\ {\rm tr}_f\Big[\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger\Big(\frac{\Sigma(k^2)[\Sigma^2(k^2)-k^2][\Sigma(k^2)-2k^2\Sigma'(k^2)]}{[\Sigma^2(k^2)+k^2]^4} \\ &\times(2\nabla_t^\mu\nabla_t^\nu\nabla_t^\alpha\nabla_t^\beta + 2a_t^\mu a_t^\nu\nabla_t^\alpha\nabla_t^\beta - 2\nabla_t^\mu a_t^\nu\nabla_t^\alpha a_t^\beta + 2\nabla_t^\mu a_t^\nu a_t^\alpha\nabla_t^\beta + 2a^\mu\nabla_t^\nu\nabla_t^\alpha a_t^\beta - 2a_t^\mu\nabla_t^\nu a_t^\alpha\nabla_t^\beta \\ &+2\nabla_t^\mu\nabla_t^\nu a_t^\alpha a_t^\beta + 2a_t^\mu a_t^\nu a_t^\alpha a_t^\beta) + \frac{k^2\Sigma(k^2)[\Sigma(k^2)-2k^2\Sigma'(k^2)]}{[\Sigma^2(k^2)+k^2]^4}(4\nabla_t^\mu\nabla_t^\nu\nabla_t^\alpha\nabla_t^\beta + 2a_t^\mu a_t^\nu\nabla_t^\alpha\nabla_t^\beta \\ &-2\nabla_t^\mu a_t^\nu\nabla_t^\alpha a_t^\beta + 4a_t^\mu\nabla_t^\nu\nabla_t^\alpha a_t^\beta - 2a_t^\mu\nabla_t^\nu a_t^\alpha\nabla_t^\beta + 2\nabla_t^\mu\nabla_t^\nu a_t^\alpha a_t^\beta)\Big)\Big]\ . \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The momentum integration can be calculated analytically, because the integrand is a total derivative. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\rm eff}[U,J]\Big|_{\rm anomalous\ p^4} = &\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\int d^4x\int_0^1 dt\ {\rm tr}_f\Big[\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger\ \Big(V_t^{\mu\nu}V_t^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{2i}{3}[a_t^\mu a_t^\nu, V_t^{\alpha\beta}]_+ + \frac{4}{3}d_t^\mu a_t^\nu d_t^\alpha a_t^\beta \\ &+\frac{8i}{3}a_t^\mu V_t^{\nu\alpha}a_t^\beta + \frac{4}{3}a_t^\mu a_t^\nu a_t^\alpha a_t^\beta\Big)\Big]\ , \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where $V_t^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu v_t^\nu - \partial^\nu v_t^\mu - i[v_t^\mu, v_t^\nu]$ and $d_t^\mu a_t^\nu = \partial^\mu a_t^\nu - i[v_t^\mu, a_t^\nu]$. Ref.[9] only gives the above result (14) without finishing the integration over parameter t. Now we continue to achieve this integration, with the help of following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial a_t^\mu}{\partial t} = \frac{i}{2}[\nabla_t^\mu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger - \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger\nabla_t^\mu] \qquad \frac{\partial v_t^\mu}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}[a_t^\mu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger - \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger a_t^\mu] \\ &\frac{\partial s_t}{\partial t} = -\frac{i}{2}[p_t\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger + \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger p_t] \qquad \frac{\partial p_t}{\partial t} = \frac{i}{2}[s_t\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger + \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger s_t] \\ &\frac{\partial d^\mu a_t^\nu}{\partial t} = \frac{i}{2}[(\nabla_t^\mu\nabla_t^\nu + a_t^\nu a_t^\mu)\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger - \nabla_t^\nu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger\nabla_t^\mu - \nabla_t^\mu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger\nabla_t^\nu - a_t^\nu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger a_t^\mu - a_t^\mu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger a_t^\nu + \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger(\nabla_t^\nu\nabla_t^\mu + a_t^\mu a_t^\nu)] \\ &\frac{\partial V_t^{\mu\nu}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}[-\nabla_t^\mu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger a_t^\nu + \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger\nabla_t^\mu a_t^\nu - \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger d_t^\mu a_t^\nu + d_t^\mu a_t^\nu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger + a_t^\nu\nabla_t^\mu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger - a_t^\nu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger\nabla_t^\mu \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

$$+\nabla_t^\nu \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} U_t^\dagger a_t^\mu - \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} U_t^\dagger \nabla_t^\nu a_t^\mu + \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} U_t^\dagger d_t^\nu a_t^\mu - d_t^\nu a_t^\mu \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} U_t^\dagger - a_t^\mu \nabla_t^\nu \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} U_t^\dagger + a_t^\mu \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} U_t^\dagger \nabla_t^\nu]$$

and by lengthy calculations, we can rewrite (14) as

$$S_{\rm eff}[U,J]\Big|_{\text{anomalous } p^4} = -\frac{N_c}{48\pi^2}\int d^4x \int_0^1 dt\ \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}{\rm tr}_f\left[\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger R_t^\mu R_t^\nu R_t^\lambda R_t^\rho + \frac{d}{dt}W^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(U_t,l,r)\right] \tag{16}$$

with $l^\mu = v^\mu - a^\mu,\ r^\mu = v^\mu + a^\mu,\ R_t^\mu = U_t^\dagger \partial^\mu U_t,\ L_t^\mu = (\partial^\mu U_t)U_t^\dagger$ and

$$\begin{aligned} W^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(U_t,l,r) \ =\ & iR_t^\mu R_t^\nu R_t^\lambda l^\rho + l^\mu \partial^\nu l^\lambda R_t^\rho + \partial^\mu l^\nu l^\lambda R_t^\rho - \frac{1}{2}R_t^\mu l^\nu R_t^\lambda l^\rho + r^\mu U_t l^\nu R_t^\lambda R^\rho U_t^\dagger + iR_t^\mu l^\nu l^\lambda l^\rho + iU_t^\dagger r^\mu U_t \partial^\nu l^\lambda l^\rho \\ & + iU_t^\dagger r^\mu \partial^\nu r^\lambda U_t l^\rho - il^\mu U_t^\dagger r^\nu U_t l^\lambda R_t^\rho - R_t^\mu U_t^\dagger \partial^\nu r^\lambda U_t l^\rho + U_t^\dagger r^\mu U_t l^\nu l^\lambda l^\rho + \frac{1}{4}U_t^\dagger r^\mu U_t l^\nu U_t^\dagger r^\lambda U_t l^\rho \\ & -(U_t \leftrightarrow U_t^\dagger, l^\mu \leftrightarrow r^\mu, L_t^\mu \leftrightarrow -R_t^\mu)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

In Ref.[9], we already show that the first term of the r.h.s. of Eq.(16) is just the Wess-Zumino-Witten term of the form defined on a four dimensional boundary disc Q in five dimensional space-time

$$-\frac{N_c}{48\pi^2}\int d^4x \int_0^1 dt\ \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}{\rm tr}_f\left[\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger R_t^\mu R_t^\nu R_t^\lambda R_t^\rho\right] = -\frac{N_c}{240\pi^2}\int_Q d\Sigma_{ijklm}{\rm tr}_f[R^iR^jR^kR^lR^m] \tag{18}$$

with $R^i \equiv U^\dagger \partial^i U$. For the second term of the r.h.s. of Eq.(16), the integration over parameter t can be calculated explicitly,

$$-\frac{N_c}{48\pi^2}\int d^4x \int_0^1 dt\ \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}{\rm tr}_f\left[\frac{d}{dt}W^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(U_t,l,r)\right] = -\frac{N_c}{48\pi^2}\int d^4x\ \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}{\rm tr}_f\left[W^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(U,l,r) - W^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(1,l,r)\right]\ , \tag{19}$$

which the just the gauge part of the Wess-Zumino-Witten term given by Ref.[13] and [16]. This finishes the explicit calculation of the order p^4 anomalous part of the chiral Lagrangian starting from formula (12). We leave the order p^6 part to the next section.

III. CALCULATION OF THE ORDER p^6 ANOMALOUS PART OF THE CHIRAL LAGRANGIAN

In this section, we start from Eq.(12) to calculate its order p^6 anomalous part of the chiral Lagrangian. For convenience, we change to the Minkowski space to perform our calculations. Direct computation gives the result

$$S_{\rm eff}[U,J]\Big|_{\text{anomalous } p^6} = \sum_{m=1}^{210}\int d^4x\ \bar{K}_m^W \int_0^1 dt\ {\rm tr}_f[\bar{O}_m^W(x,t)]\ , \tag{20}$$

where $\bar{K}_m^W$ is the coefficient in front of the operator $\bar{O}_m^W(x,t)$, which depends on quark self energy $\Sigma(k^2)$. The 210 parameter t dependent operators $\bar{O}_m^W(x,t)$ all have the structure of $\bar{O}_m^W(x,t) = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger \bar{O}_m^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(x,t)$ and $\bar{O}_m^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(x,t)$ are order p^6 operators consisting of multiplications of various compositions of a_t^μ, ∇_t^ν, s_t and p_t. In Appendix A we list all these operators in Table V. In obtaining (20), we have applied the Schouten identity, which reduces the original total 294 operators to the present 210 operators. In the literature, the general p^6 order anomalous part of the chiral Lagrangian given in Ref.[13] has only 24 independent operators. For $N_f = 3, 2$ this number reduces to 23 and five respectively. Specially for the case of $N_f = 2$, to incorporate the electro-magnetic field into the external source v^μ, the original traceless property of v^μ must be dropped, this changes the original five independent p^6 order anomalous operators into thirteen. If we denote the independent operators by $O_n^W(x)$ ($o_n^W(x)$ for $N_f = 2$) and corresponding coefficients in front of the operators by C_n^W ($c_n^W(x)$ for $N_f = 2$) respectively, then (20) becomes

$$S_{\rm eff}[U,J]\Big|_{\text{anomalous } p^6} = \sum_{n=1}^{24}\int d^4x\ C_n^W O_n^W(x) \overset{N_f=2}{=\!=\!=\!=} \sum_{n=1}^{13}\int d^4x\ c_n^W o_n^W(x)\ . \tag{21}$$

Note that our starting chiral Lagrangian (7) only involves one trace for flavor indices. If we further apply the equation of motion to (21), there will appear some operators with two flavor traces. Our result prohibits the appearance of three operators $O_3^W, O_{18}^W, O_{24}^W$, leaving 21 independent operators. This implies that our formulation gives $C_3^W =$

$C_{18}^W = C_{24}^W = 0$. If we do not apply the equation of motion, there will be more independent operators and now this number is 23. To make our calculation more convenient, we denote these operators before applying the equation of motion by $\tilde{O}_n^W(x)$ and the corresponding coefficients in front of the operators by $\tilde{K}_n^W$. We list all possible $\tilde{O}_n^W(x)$ in the Table VI of Appendix A. With these operators, (21) can also be written as

$$S_{\rm eff}[U,J]\Big|_{\text{anomalous } p^6} = \sum_{n=1}^{23} \int d^4x \; \tilde{K}_n^W \; \tilde{O}_n^W(x) \; . \tag{22}$$

Through using the equation of motion, we can obtain the relations among the two sets of operators $\tilde{O}_n^W(x)$ and $O_n^W(x)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{O}_1^W = O_1^W/B_0 \qquad \tilde{O}_2^W = O_2^W/B_0 \qquad \tilde{O}_3^W = O_4^W/B_0 \qquad \tilde{O}_4^W = O_5^W/B_0 \qquad \tilde{O}_5^W = O_7^W/B_0 \qquad \tilde{O}_6^W = O_9^W/B_0 \\
&\tilde{O}_7^W = O_{11}^W/B_0 \qquad \tilde{O}_8^W = O_{12}^W \qquad \tilde{O}_9^W = O_1^W \qquad \tilde{O}_{10}^W = O_{16}^W \qquad \tilde{O}_{11}^W = O_{17}^W \qquad \tilde{O}_{12}^W = O_{13}^W \qquad \tilde{O}_{13}^W = O_{14}^W \\
&\tilde{O}_{14}^W = O_{15}^W \qquad \tilde{O}_{15}^W = -O_4^W + \frac{2}{N_f} O_6^W \qquad \tilde{O}_{16}^W = -O_5^W - \frac{1}{N_f} O_6^W \qquad \tilde{O}_{17}^W = O_{19}^W \qquad \tilde{O}_{18}^W = O_{20}^W \qquad \tilde{O}_{19}^W = O_{21}^W \\
&\tilde{O}_{20}^W = O_{22}^W \qquad \tilde{O}_{21}^W = O_{23}^W \qquad \tilde{O}_{22}^W = O_7^W - \frac{1}{N_f} O_8^W \qquad \tilde{O}_{23}^W = O_9^W - \frac{1}{N_f} O_{10}^W \; ,
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where B_0 is the order p^2 LEC in the normal part of the chiral Lagrangian. Here we divide $O_1^W, \cdots, O_7^W$ by B_0, making the matrices A_{mn} introduced later in Eq.(27) independent of B_0. For $N_f = 2$, (23) is changed to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{O}_1^W = 0 \qquad \tilde{O}_2^W = o_1^W/B_0 \qquad \tilde{O}_3^W = o_2^W/B_0 \qquad \tilde{O}_4^W = -o_2^W/(2B_0) + o_6^W/B_0 \qquad \tilde{O}_5^W = o_3^W/B_0 \qquad \tilde{O}_6^W = o_4^W/B_0 \\
&\tilde{O}_7^W = o_5^W/B_0 \qquad \tilde{O}_8^W = \tilde{O}_9^W = \tilde{O}_{10}^W = \tilde{O}_{11}^W = 0 \qquad \tilde{O}_{12}^W = -o_9^W \qquad \tilde{O}_{13}^W = \tilde{O}_{14}^W = -\frac{1}{2} o_6^W + o_9^W \qquad \tilde{O}_{15}^W = -o_6^W \\
&\tilde{O}_{16}^W = -\frac{1}{2} o_6^W \qquad \tilde{O}_{17}^W = o_{10}^W \qquad \tilde{O}_{18}^W = \tilde{O}_{19}^W = -o_{10}^W \qquad \tilde{O}_{20}^W = \frac{1}{4} o_7^W - \frac{1}{8} o_8^W - o_{10}^W + o_{11}^W - 2 o_{13}^W \qquad \tilde{O}_{21}^W = 0 \\
&\tilde{O}_{22}^W = o_7^W - \frac{1}{2} o_8^W \qquad \tilde{O}_{23}^W = 0 \; .
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Direct comparison between (20) and (22) is difficult, since in (20) we have an extra integration over parameter t and the number of operators in (20) is much larger than it is in (22). Instead of finishing the integration over parameter t in (20), we introduce an integration of parameter t in (22). Since we are only interested in the U dependent part of the chiral Lagrangian, adding some U field independent pure source terms in (22) will not change our result; therefore we can rewrite (22) as

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\rm eff}[U,J]\Big|_{\text{anomalous } p^6} &= \sum_{n=1}^{23} \int d^4x \; \tilde{K}_n^W [\tilde{O}_n^W(x) - \tilde{O}_n^W(x)\Big|_{U=1}] = \sum_{n=1}^{23} \int d^4x \; \tilde{K}_n^W [\tilde{O}_n^W(x)\Big|_{U\to U_{t=1}} - \tilde{O}_n^W(x)\Big|_{U\to U_{t=0}}] \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{23} \int d^4x \; \tilde{K}_n^W [\tilde{O}_n^W(x)\Big|_{U\to U_t}]\Big|_{t=0}^{t=1} = \sum_{n=1}^{23} \int d^4x \; \tilde{K}_n^W \int_0^1 dt \; \frac{d}{dt} [\tilde{O}_n^W(x)\Big|_{U\to U_t}] \; .
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

In expression (25), integration of parameter t is already present in the formula, then the only remaining problem is that in (25) there are only 23 independent terms acted on by the differential of t, while in (20) there are 210 terms. comparing (25) and (20), we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{23} \tilde{K}_n^W \; \frac{d}{dt} [\tilde{O}_n^W(x)\Big|_{U\to U_t}] = \sum_{m=1}^{210} \bar{K}_m^W \; \bar{O}_m^W(x,t) \; . \tag{26}$$

Note that with the help of relation (15), $\frac{d}{dt}[\tilde{O}_n^W(x)\Big|_{U\to U_t}]$ appearing in the above equation can be reduced to linear composition of $\bar{O}_m^W(x,t)$, i.e.

$$\frac{d}{dt} [\tilde{O}_n^W(x)\Big|_{U\to U_t}] = \sum_{m=1}^{210} A_{nm} \bar{O}_m^W(x,t) \tag{27}$$

with the 23×210 matrix A_{nm} given by Table VII in Appendix B, Then we rearrange (27) by multiplying both sides of the equation by some 23×23 matrix elements $C_{n'n}$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{23} C_{n'n} \frac{d}{dt}[\tilde{O}_n^W(x)\Big|_{U\to U_t}] = \sum_{n=1}^{23}\sum_{m=1}^{210} C_{n'n} A_{nm} \bar{O}_m^W(x,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{210} R_{n'm} \bar{O}_m^W(x,t) \qquad R_{n'm} \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{23} C_{n'n} A_{nm} \tag{28}$$

and tune $C_{n'n}$ in such a way that a 23×23 submatrix R' is a unit matrix, i.e. $R'_{n'm'} = \delta_{n'm'}$ with $n', m' = 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 20, 43, 44, 49, 50, 51, 52, 54, 57, 59, 62, 63, 64, 127, 128, 133, 134$. The C matrix is found to be of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{C}_{7\times 7} & 0_{7\times 15} \\ 0_{15\times 7} & \tilde{C}_{15\times 15} \end{pmatrix}$ where $\bar{C}$ and $\tilde{C}$ are 7×7 and 15×15 matrices respectively. The off diagonal parts are two matrices with null matrix elements and the dimensions are 7×15 and 15×7. We label the dimension of the sub-matrices as their subscripts. $\bar{C}$ and $\tilde{C}$ matrices are given in Table VIII and Table IX in Appendix B. We call the remaining part of $R_{n'm}$ the matrix $R_{n'm''}$ $m'' \neq m'$. Then (28) is changed to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{23} C_{m'n} \frac{d}{dt}[\tilde{O}_n^W(x)\Big|_{U\to U_t}] = \bar{O}_{m'}^W(x,t) + \sum_{m''} R_{m'm''} \bar{O}_{m''}^W(x,t) \ . \tag{29}$$

Multiplying both sides of the above equation by $\bar{K}_{m'}^W$,

$$\sum_{m'}\sum_{n=1}^{23} \bar{K}_{m'}^W C_{m'n} \frac{d}{dt}[\tilde{O}_n^W(x)\Big|_{U\to U_t}] = \sum_{m'} \bar{K}_{m'}^W \bar{O}_{m'}^W(x,t) + \sum_{m'}\sum_{m''} \bar{K}_{m'}^W R_{m'm''} \bar{O}_{m''}^W(x,t) \ . \tag{30}$$

Comparing (30) and (26), to make these two equations consistent with each other, we must have conditions,

$$\tilde{K}_n^W = \sum_{m'} \bar{K}_{m'}^W C_{m'n} \qquad \bar{K}_{m''}^W = \sum_{m'} \bar{K}_{m'}^W R_{m'm''} \ , \tag{31}$$

in which the second equation is a consistency check for the coefficients $\bar{K}_{m''}^W$ of the dependent operators $\bar{O}_{m''}^W(x,t)$. We have checked analytically that these constraints are all automatically satisfied and this can be seen as a consistency check of our formulation. The first equation gives $\tilde{K}_n^W$ in terms of $\bar{K}_{m'}^W$ and $C_{m'n}$. Substituting it in the expressions obtained for $\bar{K}_{m'}^W$ and $C_{m'n}$, we finally obtain the 23 order p^6 LECs for the three and more flavors anomalous part of chiral Lagrangian.

The resulting analytical expressions for $\tilde{K}_n^W$ as functions of quark self energy Σ are given in Appendix C. With $\tilde{K}_n^W$ given in Appendix C, we can choose a suitable running coupling constant $\alpha_s(p^2)$, solve the Schwinger-Dyson equation numerically, obtaining the quark self energy Σ, and then calculate the numerical values of all order p^6 anomalous LECs. To obtain the final numerical result, we have assumed $F_0 = 87$MeV as input to fix the dimensional parameter $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ appearing in the running coupling constant $\alpha_s(p^2)$ and taken momentum cutoff $\Lambda = 1.00^{+0.10}_{-0.10}$GeV. Because of the appearance of the divergent order p^2 LEC B_0 in Eqs.(23) and (24), we need a momentum cutoff Λ to make B_0 finite as we did previously in Ref.[6]. In Table I, we give the numerical values for all 21 nonzero LECs for three flavors($C_3^W = C_{18}^W = 0$ in our formulation).

Combined with our numerical result, we also list the numerical estimates for some of the LECs from five different models and different processes given in Ref.[15],[17],[18],[19] and [20]. In Ref.[15], model I and III are all from direct chiral perturbation(ChPT) computations, except that model I is the full ChPT result, while in model III, low energy experiment data are extrapolated to the high energy region; model II is the vector meson dominance model (VMD); model IV and V are the chiral constituent quark model (CQM) with some extrapolations included in model V. For a fixed model, different processes may give different results. For example, in model I for C_7^W and models I and IV for C_{22}^W, we all obtain two results from two different processes. Further, Ref.[15],[19] and [20] also give estimations on some combinations or ratios of LECs. We list our and their results in Table II. For $N_f = 2$, in Table III, we give the numerical values of all 12 nonzero LECs ($c_{12}^W = 0$ in our formulation) which are actually of the very same structure as that given by [13].

TABLE I. The nonzero values of the order p^6 anomalous LECs $C_1^W, C_2^W, C_4^W, \ldots, C_{17}^W, C_{19}^W, \ldots, C_{23}^W$ for three flavors. The LECs are in units of 10^{-3}GeV^{-2}. The 2nd column is our result LECs with the values at $\Lambda = 1$GeV with superscript the difference caused at $\Lambda = 1.1$GeV (i.e. $C_i^W\big|_{\Lambda=1.1\rm GeV} - C_i^W\big|_{\Lambda=1\rm GeV}$) and subscript the difference caused at $\Lambda = 0.9$GeV (i.e. $C_i^W\big|_{\Lambda=0.9\rm GeV} - C_i^W\big|_{\Lambda=1\rm GeV}$). The 3rd to 7th columns are results given in Ref.[15]: (I)–ChPT, (II)–VMD, (III)–ChPT(extrapolation), (IV)–CQM, (V)–CQM(extrapolation). The 8th column shows results from Ref.[17],[18],[19],[20].

n	C_n^W ours	[15](I)	[15](II)	[15](III)	[15](IV)	[15](V)	[17], [18], [19], [20]
1	$4.97^{+0.55}_{-0.79}$						
2	$-1.43^{+0.10}_{-0.12}$	-0.32 ± 10.4		0.78 ± 12.7	4.96 ± 9.70	-0.074 ± 13.3	
4	$-0.96^{+0.22}_{-0.29}$	0.28 ± 9.19		0.67 ± 10.9	6.32 ± 6.09	-0.55 ± 9.05	
5	$3.26^{+0.34}_{-0.49}$	28.50 ± 28.83		9.38 ± 152.2	33.05 ± 28.66	34.51 ± 41.13	
6	$0.91^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$						
7	$1.68^{-0.24}_{+0.31}$	0.013 ± 1.17			0.51 ± 0.06		0.1 ± 1.2
		20.3 ± 18.7					0.1^*
8	$0.41^{+0.01}_{-0.02}$	0.76 ± 0.18					0.58 ± 0.20
							0.5^*
9	$1.15^{-0.03}_{+0.03}$						
10	$-0.18^{-0.01}_{+0.01}$						
11	$-1.15^{+0.08}_{-0.10}$	-6.37 ± 4.54			-0.00143 ± 0.03		0.68 ± 0.21
12	$-5.13^{-0.15}_{+0.25}$						
13	$-6.37^{-0.18}_{+0.31}$	-74.09 ± 55.89	-20.00	-8.44 ± 69.9	14.15 ± 15.22	-7.46 ± 19.62	
14	$-2.00^{-0.06}_{+0.10}$	29.99 ± 11.14	-6.01	0.72 ± 15.3	10.23 ± 7.56	-0.58 ± 9.77	
15	$4.17^{+0.12}_{-0.20}$	-25.30 ± 23.93	2.00	-3.10 ± 28.6	19.70 ± 7.49	8.89 ± 9.72	
16	$3.58^{+0.10}_{-0.17}$						
17	$1.98^{+0.06}_{-0.10}$						
19	$0.29^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$						
20	$1.83^{+0.05}_{-0.09}$						
21	$2.48^{+0.07}_{-0.12}$						
22	$5.01^{+0.14}_{-0.24}$	6.52 ± 0.78	8.01		3.94 ± 0.43		5.4 ± 0.8
		5.07 ± 0.71			3.94 ± 0.43		
23	$2.74^{+0.08}_{-0.13}$						

* This result is just the absolute value given in Ref.[19].

TABLE II. Some combinations or ratios of LECs in units of 10^{-3}GeV^{-2}. The 2nd column is our result LECs with the values at $\Lambda = 1\text{GeV}$, and with superscript the difference caused at $\Lambda = 1.1\text{GeV}$ and subscript the difference caused at $\Lambda = 0.9\text{GeV}$. The 3rd to 5th columns are results given in Ref.[15]: (I)–ChPT, (II)–VMD, (III)–ChPT (extrapolation), (IV)–CQM, (V)–CQM (extrapolation). The 6th and 7th columns are results given in Ref.[19] and [20] respectively.

	ours	[15]	[15]	[15]	[19]	[20]
$C_3^W - C_6^W$	$-0.91^{-0.03}_{+0.04}$	21.67 ± 17.41 (I)	5.07 ± 5.07 (IV)	-2.14 ± 6.54 (V)		
$2C_{15}^W - 4C_{14}^W + C_{13}^W$	$9.95^{+0.29}_{-0.48}$	-244.7 ± 148.4 (I)	≈ 8.0 (II)	-17.52 ± 188.3 (III)		
$2C_{14}^W - C_{13}^W$	$2.38^{+0.07}_{-0.12}$	134.1 ± 78.17 (I)	≈ 8.0 (II)	9.88 ± 100.5 (III)		
$\lvert C_7^W\rvert/\lvert C_8^W\rvert$	$4.12^{-0.69}_{+1.01}$				0.2	< 0.1

TABLE III. The nonzero values of the p^6 order anomalous LECs $c_1^W, \ldots, c_{11}^W, c_{13}^W$ for two flavor in units of 10^{-3}GeV^{-2}.

c_1^W	c_2^W	c_3^W	c_4^W	c_5^W	c_6^W	c_7^W	c_8^W	c_9^W	c_{10}^W	c_{11}^W	c_{13}^W
$-1.46^{+0.10}_{-0.12}$	$-1.25^{+0.09}_{-0.11}$	$2.96^{-0.20}_{+0.25}$	$0.63^{-0.04}_{+0.05}$	$-1.17^{+0.08}_{-0.10}$	$0.77^{+0.26}_{-0.36}$	$-0.04^{-0.00}_{+0.00}$	$0.02^{+0.00}_{-0.00}$	$8.19^{+0.23}_{-0.38}$	$-8.73^{-0.24}_{+0.41}$	$4.85^{+0.13}_{-0.23}$	$-9.70^{-0.27}_{+0.45}$

We see that most of our results are consistent with those we have found in the literature.

As a phenomenological check for two flavor anomalous LECs, we discuss the $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ process. Ref.[20] gives the amplitude of this process by

$$T_{\text{LO+NLO}} = \frac{1}{F}\left\{\frac{1}{4\pi^2} + \frac{16}{3}m_\pi^2(-4c_3^{Wr} - 4c_7^{Wr} + c_{11}^{Wr}) + \frac{64}{9}B(m_d - m_u)(5c_3^{Wr} + c_r^{Wr} + 2c_8^{Wr})\right\}. \tag{32}$$

In our calculation, we choose the center value $B(m_d - m_u) = 0.32m_{\pi^0}^2$ given in Ref.[20]. Experimentally, the $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ process dominates the life time of π^0 to 98.79%, and if we ignore that small fraction from other processes, then the

life time of π^0 can be expressed in terms of amplitude T as $1/\tau = \pi\alpha m_\pi^3 T^2/4$. In Table IV we give our result for $\tau_{\rm LO}$ up to the leading order p^4, which corresponds to the first term of the r.h.s of Eq.(32), and $\tau_{\rm NLO}$ up to the next leading order p^6 of the low energy expansion. Experimental result from particle data group[21] is also included in the table for comparison.

TABLE IV. π^0 life time in units of 10^{-17}s.

	$\tau_{\rm LO}$	$\tau_{\rm NLO}$
$F = 87$MeV	7.56	$7.59^{-0.03}_{+0.04}$
$F = 93$MeV	8.63	$8.67^{-0.03}_{+0.04}$
Exp.[21]	8.4 ± 0.6	

Our result roughly matches the experimental value and we see that the order p^6 results have less effect on the life time of π^0.

IV. SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK

In this work, we review the general anomaly structure of the effective chiral Lagrangian and then generalize our order p^6 calculation in Ref.[6] from the normal part to the anomalous part of the chiral Lagrangian for pseudoscalar mesons. The result is obtained by computing the imaginary Σ dependent part of $\mathrm{Trln}[\partial\!\!\!/ + J_\Omega + \Sigma(-\bar{\nabla}^2)]$. To match the calculation of the order p^4 anomalous part, in practice we calculate the integration of parameter t over $\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{Tr}\ln[i\partial\!\!\!/ + J_{\Omega(t)} + \Sigma(-\nabla_t^2)]$. The conventional chiral Lagrangian is also reformulated to an integration of t and through comparison of it with our result, we read out all order p^6 anomalous LECs expressed in terms of quark self energy Σ. Inputting the SDE solution of $\Sigma(k^2)$, we obtain numerical values and compare them with those we can find in literature. Some of them are consistent, some are not. We leave those inconsistent results to future investigations. Combined with the previous result on the order p^6 normal LECs given in Ref.[6], we have now completed all the order p^6 LECs computations. Based on them, one direction of the further research is to apply the order p^6 chiral Lagrangian to various pseudoscalar meson processes and discuss the corresponding physics. Another direction is to improve the precision of (7) and our ladder approximation SDE. With these improvements, we expect a more precise estimation on all LECs in future.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant No.10875065.

[1] J.Balog, Phys.Lett. **B149**, 197(1984);
[2] A.A.Andrianov, Phys.Lett. **B157**, 425(1985);
[3] A.A.Andrianov et al, Phys. Lett. **B186**, 401(1987);
[4] R.Jackiw, Phys. Rev. **D9**, 1686(1974);
[5] Q. Wang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A20**, 1627(2005).
[6] S-Z.Jiang, Y.Zhang, C.Li and Q. Wang, Phys. Rev. **D81**, 014001(2010).
[7] H. Yang, Q. Wang, Y-P.Kuang and Q. Lu, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 014019(2002).
[8] H. Yang, Q. Wang and Q. Lu, Phys.Lett. **B532**, 240(2002).
[9] Y-L. Ma and Q. Wang, Phys. Lett. **B560**, 188(2003).
[10] J.Bijnens, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **58**, 521(2007).
[11] R. Akhoury, A. Alfakih, Ann. Phys.(N.Y.) **210**, 81(1991).
[12] H. W. Fearing, S. Scherer, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 315(1996).
[13] J.Bijnens, L.Girlanda, P.Talavera, Eur. Phys. J. **C23**, 539(2002). ‘
[14] T.Ebertshäuser, H.W.Fearing, S.Scherer, Phys. Rev. **D65**, 054033(2002).
[15] O.Strandberg, J.Bijnens, arXiv:0302064 [hep-ph].
[16] Chou K-C, Guo H-Y, Wu K,Song X-C, Phys. Lett. **B134**, 67(1984).
[17] R. Unterdorfer and H Pichl, Eur. Phys. J. **C55**, 273(2008).
[18] A. A. Poblaguev et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 061803(2002).
[19] B. Ananthanarayan and B. Moussallam, JHEP05, 052(2002).
[20] K. Kampf and B. Moussallam, Phys. Rev. D79, 076005(2009).

[21] C. Amsler *et al.* (Paritcle Data Group), Phys. Lett. **B667**, 1(2008).
[22] J. Bijnens, G. Colangelo and G. Ecker, JHEP**02**, 020(1999).

Appendix A: List of All Operators $\bar{O}_n^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$ and $\tilde{O}_n^W$

In this appendix, we first explicitly write down all 210 $\bar{O}_n^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$ operators. To save the space, we use some simplified symbols to represent the original symbols in the text. Our $\bar{O}_n^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$s are constructed in such a way that they are invariant under charge conjugation transformation. This causes the result that most of $\bar{O}_n^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$s consist of two terms which are charge conjugates to each other.

TABLE V. $\mu \equiv \nabla_t^\mu,\ \ \nu \equiv \nabla_t^\nu,\ \ \lambda \equiv \nabla_t^\lambda,\ \ \rho \equiv \nabla_t^\rho,\ \ \bar\mu \equiv a_t^\mu,\ \ \bar\nu \equiv a_t^\nu,\ \ \bar\lambda \equiv a_t^\lambda,\ \ \bar\rho \equiv a_t^\rho,\ \ s \equiv s_t,\ \ p \equiv p_t$
$\cdots\sigma\cdots\sigma \equiv \cdots\nabla_t^\sigma\cdots\nabla_{t,\sigma},\ \ \cdots\bar\sigma\cdots\bar\sigma \equiv \cdots a_t^\sigma\cdots a_{t,\sigma},\ \ \cdots\sigma\cdots\bar\sigma \equiv \cdots\nabla_t^\sigma\cdots a_{t,\sigma},\ \ \cdots\bar\sigma\cdots\sigma \equiv \cdots a_t^\sigma\cdots\nabla_{t,\sigma}$

n	$\bar{O}_n^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$	n	$\bar{O}_n^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$	n	$\bar{O}_n^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$	n	$\bar{O}_n^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$	n	$\bar{O}_n^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$
1	$s\mu\nu\lambda\rho+\mu\nu\lambda\rho s$	43	$\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma\sigma+\sigma\sigma\mu\nu\lambda\rho$	85	$\mu\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\mu\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\rho$	127	$\mu\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\mu\bar\nu\lambda\rho$	169	$\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\rho+\mu\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\rho$
2	$\mu s\nu\lambda\rho+\mu\nu\lambda s\rho$	44	$\mu\nu\lambda\sigma\rho\sigma+\sigma\mu\sigma\nu\lambda\rho$	86	$\mu\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\rho$	128	$\mu\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\rho$	170	$\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho\sigma+\sigma\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\rho$
3	$\mu\nu s\lambda\rho$	45	$\mu\nu\lambda\sigma\sigma\rho+\mu\sigma\sigma\nu\lambda\rho$	87	$\mu\sigma\bar\nu\sigma\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\sigma\rho$	129	$\mu\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\rho$	171	$\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\rho+\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\rho$
4	$s\mu\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\lambda\rho s$	46	$\mu\nu\sigma\lambda\rho\sigma+\sigma\mu\nu\sigma\lambda\rho$	88	$\mu\sigma\bar\sigma\nu\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\sigma\rho$	130	$\mu\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\rho$	172	$\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho\sigma+\sigma\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\rho$
5	$s\mu\bar\nu\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\nu\bar\lambda\rho s$	47	$\mu\nu\sigma\lambda\sigma\rho+\mu\sigma\nu\sigma\lambda\rho$	89	$\mu\nu\bar\lambda\rho\bar\sigma\sigma+\sigma\bar\sigma\mu\bar\nu\lambda\rho$	131	$\mu\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\rho$	173	$\bar\mu\nu\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\mu\nu\lambda\bar\rho$
6	$s\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\rho+\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\rho s$	48	$\mu\sigma\nu\lambda\rho\sigma+\sigma\mu\nu\lambda\sigma\rho$	90	$\mu\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\bar\rho\sigma+\sigma\bar\mu\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\rho$	132	$\mu\nu\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\lambda\rho$	174	$\bar\mu\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\bar\sigma\nu\lambda\bar\rho$
7	$s\bar\mu\nu\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\nu\lambda\bar\rho s$	49	$\mu\nu\lambda\rho\bar\sigma\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\mu\nu\lambda\rho$	91	$\mu\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\bar\sigma\rho+\mu\bar\sigma\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\rho$	133	$\mu\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\rho$	175	$\bar\mu\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\nu\lambda\bar\rho$
8	$s\bar\mu\nu\bar\lambda\rho+\mu\bar\nu\lambda\bar\rho s$	50	$\mu\nu\lambda\sigma\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\sigma\nu\lambda\rho$	92	$\mu\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\bar\rho\sigma+\sigma\bar\mu\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\rho$	134	$\mu\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\rho$	176	$\bar\mu\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\bar\nu\sigma\lambda\bar\rho$
9	$s\bar\mu\bar\nu\lambda\rho+\mu\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho s$	51	$\mu\nu\lambda\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\sigma\nu\lambda\rho$	93	$\mu\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\bar\sigma\rho+\mu\bar\sigma\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\rho$	135	$\mu\sigma\bar\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\sigma\rho$	177	$\bar\mu\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\sigma\lambda\bar\rho$
10	$\mu s\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\lambda s\rho$	52	$\mu\nu\sigma\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\nu\sigma\lambda\rho$	94	$\mu\nu\bar\sigma\sigma\bar\lambda\rho+\mu\bar\nu\sigma\bar\sigma\lambda\rho$	136	$\mu\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\sigma\rho$	178	$\bar\mu\nu\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\sigma\lambda\bar\rho$
11	$\mu s\bar\nu\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\nu\bar\lambda s\rho$	53	$\mu\nu\sigma\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\nu\sigma\lambda\rho$	95	$\mu\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\bar\rho\sigma+\sigma\bar\mu\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\rho$	137	$\mu\bar\nu\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\mu\nu\bar\lambda\rho$	179	$\bar\mu\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\bar\nu\lambda\sigma\bar\rho$
12	$\mu s\bar\nu\bar\lambda\rho+\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda s\rho$	54	$\mu\nu\sigma\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\sigma\sigma\lambda\rho$	96	$\mu\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\rho+\mu\bar\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\rho$	138	$\mu\bar\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\bar\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\rho$	180	$\bar\mu\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\sigma\bar\rho$
13	$\bar\mu s\nu\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\nu\lambda s\bar\rho$	55	$\mu\sigma\nu\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\nu\lambda\sigma\rho$	97	$\mu\sigma\bar\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\rho+\mu\bar\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\sigma\rho$	139	$\mu\bar\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\rho$	181	$\bar\mu\sigma\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\sigma\bar\rho$
14	$\bar\mu s\nu\bar\lambda\rho+\mu\bar\nu\lambda s\bar\rho$	56	$\mu\sigma\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\nu\lambda\sigma\rho$	98	$\mu\sigma\bar\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\rho+\mu\bar\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\sigma\rho$	140	$\mu\bar\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\bar\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\rho$	182	$\bar\mu\sigma\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\sigma\bar\rho$
15	$\bar\mu s\bar\nu\lambda\rho+\mu\nu\bar\lambda s\bar\rho$	57	$\mu\sigma\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\sigma\lambda\sigma\rho$	99	$\mu\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho\sigma\sigma+\sigma\sigma\bar\mu\bar\nu\lambda\rho$	141	$\mu\bar\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\rho$	183	$\bar\mu\nu\bar\lambda\rho\bar\sigma\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\mu\bar\nu\lambda\bar\rho$
16	$\mu\nu s\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu s\lambda\rho$	58	$\mu\sigma\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\lambda\sigma\sigma\rho$	100	$\mu\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\rho\sigma+\sigma\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\rho$	142	$\mu\bar\nu\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\sigma\bar\lambda\rho$	184	$\bar\mu\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\bar\rho$
17	$\mu\bar\nu s\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\nu s\bar\lambda\rho$	59	$\mu\nu\lambda\bar\rho\sigma\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\sigma\bar\mu\nu\lambda\rho$	101	$\mu\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\sigma\rho+\mu\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\rho$	143	$\mu\bar\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\bar\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\rho$	185	$\bar\mu\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\bar\rho$
18	$\mu\bar\nu s\bar\lambda\rho$	60	$\mu\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\mu\bar\sigma\nu\lambda\rho$	102	$\mu\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\rho\sigma+\sigma\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\rho$	144	$\mu\bar\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\rho$	186	$\bar\mu\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\bar\rho$
19	$\bar\mu\nu s\lambda\bar\rho$	61	$\mu\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\sigma\bar\sigma\nu\lambda\rho$	103	$\mu\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\sigma\rho+\mu\sigma\bar\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\rho$	145	$\mu\bar\sigma\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\bar\sigma\rho$	187	$\bar\mu\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\bar\rho$
20	$s\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho s$	62	$\mu\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\mu\bar\nu\sigma\lambda\rho$	104	$\mu\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\rho\sigma+\sigma\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\rho$	146	$\mu\bar\sigma\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\bar\sigma\rho$	188	$\bar\mu\nu\bar\sigma\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\sigma\bar\sigma\lambda\bar\rho$
21	$\bar\mu s\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda s\bar\rho$	63	$\mu\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\sigma\bar\nu\sigma\lambda\rho$	105	$\mu\bar\nu\lambda\rho\sigma\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\sigma\mu\nu\bar\lambda\rho$	147	$\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\rho\bar\sigma\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\rho$	189	$\bar\mu\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\bar\rho$
22	$\bar\mu\bar\nu s\bar\lambda\bar\rho$	64	$\mu\nu\sigma\bar\sigma\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\nu\bar\sigma\sigma\lambda\rho$	106	$\mu\bar\nu\lambda\sigma\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\mu\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\rho$	148	$\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\rho$	190	$\bar\mu\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\bar\rho$
23	$p\mu\nu\lambda\bar\rho-\bar\mu\nu\lambda\rho p$	65	$\mu\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\mu\bar\nu\lambda\sigma\rho$	107	$\mu\bar\nu\lambda\sigma\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\sigma\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\rho$	149	$\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\rho$	191	$\bar\mu\sigma\bar\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\sigma\bar\rho$
24	$p\mu\nu\bar\lambda\rho-\mu\bar\nu\lambda\rho p$	66	$\mu\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\sigma\rho$	108	$\mu\bar\nu\sigma\lambda\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\mu\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\rho$	150	$\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\rho$	192	$\bar\mu\sigma\bar\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\sigma\bar\rho$
25	$p\mu\bar\nu\lambda\rho-\mu\nu\bar\lambda\rho p$	67	$\mu\sigma\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\sigma\rho$	109	$\mu\bar\nu\sigma\lambda\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\sigma\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\rho$	151	$\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\rho$	193	$\bar\mu\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho\sigma\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\sigma\bar\mu\bar\nu\lambda\bar\rho$
26	$p\bar\mu\nu\lambda\rho-\mu\nu\lambda\bar\rho p$	68	$\mu\sigma\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\sigma\rho$	110	$\mu\bar\nu\sigma\sigma\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\nu\sigma\sigma\bar\lambda\rho$	152	$\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\rho$	194	$\bar\mu\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\bar\rho$
27	$\mu p\nu\lambda\bar\rho-\bar\mu\nu\lambda p\rho$	69	$\mu\nu\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma\sigma+\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\mu\nu\lambda\rho$	111	$\mu\bar\sigma\nu\lambda\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\mu\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\rho$	153	$\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\rho$	195	$\bar\mu\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\bar\rho$
28	$\mu p\nu\bar\lambda\rho-\mu\bar\nu\lambda p\rho$	70	$\mu\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho\sigma+\sigma\bar\mu\bar\sigma\nu\lambda\rho$	112	$\mu\bar\sigma\nu\lambda\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\sigma\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\rho$	154	$\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\rho$	196	$\bar\mu\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\bar\rho$
29	$\mu p\bar\nu\lambda\rho-\mu\nu\bar\lambda p\rho$	71	$\mu\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\rho+\mu\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\nu\lambda\rho$	113	$\mu\bar\sigma\nu\sigma\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\nu\sigma\lambda\bar\sigma\rho$	155	$\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\rho$	197	$\bar\mu\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\sigma\bar\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\bar\rho$
30	$\bar\mu p\nu\lambda\rho-\mu\nu\lambda p\bar\rho$	72	$\mu\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho\sigma+\sigma\bar\mu\bar\nu\sigma\lambda\rho$	114	$\mu\bar\sigma\sigma\nu\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\nu\lambda\sigma\bar\sigma\rho$	156	$\mu\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\rho$	198	$\bar\mu\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\bar\rho$
31	$\mu\nu p\lambda\bar\rho-\bar\mu\nu p\lambda\rho$	73	$\mu\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\rho+\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\sigma\lambda\rho$	115	$\mu\bar\nu\lambda\rho\bar\sigma\sigma+\sigma\bar\sigma\mu\nu\bar\lambda\rho$	157	$\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho\sigma\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\sigma\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\rho$	199	$\bar\mu\bar\nu\lambda\rho\bar\sigma\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\mu\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho$
32	$\mu\nu p\bar\lambda\rho-\mu\bar\nu p\lambda\rho$	74	$\mu\nu\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\rho+\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\sigma\lambda\rho$	116	$\mu\bar\nu\lambda\sigma\bar\rho\sigma+\sigma\bar\mu\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\rho$	158	$\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\rho$	200	$\bar\mu\bar\nu\lambda\sigma\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho$
33	$p\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho-\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\rho p$	75	$\mu\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho\sigma+\sigma\bar\mu\bar\nu\lambda\sigma\rho$	117	$\mu\bar\nu\lambda\sigma\bar\sigma\rho+\mu\bar\sigma\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\rho$	159	$\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\rho$	201	$\bar\mu\bar\nu\lambda\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho$
34	$p\bar\mu\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho-\bar\mu\bar\nu\lambda\bar\rho p$	76	$\mu\sigma\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\rho+\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\sigma\rho$	118	$\mu\bar\nu\sigma\lambda\bar\rho\sigma+\sigma\bar\mu\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\rho$	160	$\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\rho$	202	$\bar\mu\bar\nu\sigma\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho$
35	$p\bar\mu\bar\nu\lambda\bar\rho-\bar\mu\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho p$	77	$\mu\sigma\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\rho+\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\sigma\rho$	119	$\mu\bar\nu\sigma\lambda\bar\sigma\rho+\mu\bar\sigma\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\rho$	161	$\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\sigma\bar\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\rho$	203	$\bar\mu\bar\nu\sigma\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\nu\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho$
36	$p\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\rho-\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho p$	78	$\mu\sigma\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\rho+\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\sigma\rho$	120	$\mu\bar\sigma\nu\lambda\bar\rho\sigma+\sigma\bar\mu\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\rho$	162	$\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\nu\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\rho$	204	$\bar\mu\bar\sigma\nu\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\nu\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho$
37	$\mu p\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho-\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda p\rho$	79	$\mu\nu\bar\lambda\rho\sigma\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\sigma\mu\bar\nu\lambda\rho$	121	$\bar\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\sigma\mu\nu\lambda\bar\rho$	163	$\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\rho$	205	$\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho$
38	$\bar\mu p\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho-\bar\mu\bar\nu\lambda p\bar\rho$	80	$\mu\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\mu\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\rho$	122	$\bar\mu\nu\lambda\sigma\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\mu\sigma\nu\lambda\bar\rho$	164	$\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\rho$	206	$\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho$
39	$\bar\mu p\bar\nu\lambda\bar\rho-\bar\mu\nu\bar\lambda p\bar\rho$	81	$\mu\nu\bar\lambda\sigma\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\sigma\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\rho$	123	$\bar\mu\nu\lambda\sigma\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\sigma\sigma\nu\lambda\bar\rho$	165	$\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\rho$	207	$\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho$
40	$\bar\mu p\bar\nu\bar\lambda\rho-\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda p\bar\rho$	82	$\mu\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\mu\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\rho$	124	$\bar\mu\nu\sigma\lambda\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\mu\nu\sigma\lambda\bar\rho$	166	$\mu\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\nu\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\sigma\rho$	208	$\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho$
41	$\mu\bar\nu p\bar\lambda\bar\rho-\bar\mu\bar\nu p\bar\lambda\rho$	83	$\mu\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\sigma\nu\bar\sigma\lambda\rho$	125	$\bar\mu\nu\sigma\lambda\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\sigma\nu\sigma\lambda\bar\rho$	167	$\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma\sigma+\sigma\bar\sigma\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\rho$	209	$\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho+\bar\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\bar\sigma\bar\lambda\bar\rho$
42	$\bar\mu\nu p\bar\lambda\bar\rho-\bar\mu\bar\nu p\lambda\bar\rho$	84	$\mu\nu\bar\sigma\sigma\lambda\bar\rho+\bar\mu\nu\sigma\bar\sigma\lambda\rho$	126	$\bar\mu\sigma\nu\lambda\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\mu\nu\lambda\sigma\bar\rho$	168	$\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho\sigma+\sigma\bar\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\rho$	210	$\bar\mu\bar\sigma\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\rho\bar\sigma+\bar\sigma\bar\mu\bar\nu\bar\lambda\bar\sigma\bar\rho$

Next, we list all 23 $\widetilde{O}_n^W$ operators.

TABLE VI. List of $\widetilde{O}_n^W$ operators, where we divide $\tilde{O}_1^W, ..., \tilde{O}_7^W$ by B_0 making the matrices A_{mn} introduced in Eq.(36) independent of B_0. The symbols are introduced in Ref.[22]. The comparisons between the symbols introduced in Ref.[22] and ours are given in Table XV. of Ref.[6].

n	$\widetilde{O}_n^W$	n	$\widetilde{O}_n^W$
1	$\langle iu^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda u^\rho \chi_- \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}/B_0$	13	$-i\langle f_+^{\mu\nu} u_\sigma u^\lambda h^{\rho\sigma} \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} - i\langle f_+^{\mu\nu} h^{\lambda\sigma} u^\rho u_\sigma \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$
2	$\langle u^\mu u^\nu \chi_+ f_-^{\lambda\rho} \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}/B_0 - \langle u^\mu u^\nu f_-^{\lambda\rho} \chi_+ \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}/B_0$	14	$-i\langle f_+^{\mu\nu} u^\lambda h^{\rho\sigma} u_\sigma \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} - i\langle f_+^{\mu\nu} u_\sigma h^{\lambda\sigma} u^\rho \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$
3	$\langle f_+^{\mu\nu} u^\lambda u^\rho \chi_- \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}/B_0 + \langle f_+^{\mu\nu} \chi_- u^\lambda u^\rho \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}/B_0$	15	$i\langle f_+^{\mu\nu} u^\lambda u^\rho h^\sigma{}_\sigma \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} + i\langle f_+^{\mu\nu} h^\sigma{}_\sigma u^\lambda u^\rho \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$
4	$-\langle f_+^{\mu\nu} u^\lambda \chi_- u^\rho \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}/B_0$	16	$-i\langle f_+^{\mu\nu} u^\lambda h^\sigma{}_\sigma u^\rho \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$
5	$i\langle f_+^{\mu\nu} f_+^{\lambda\rho} \chi_- \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}/B_0$	17	$i\langle f_{+\sigma}^{\mu} u^\nu u^\lambda f_-^{\rho\sigma} \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} + i\langle f_{+\sigma}^{\mu} f_-^{\nu\sigma} u^\lambda u^\rho \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$
6	$i\langle f_-^{\mu\nu} f_-^{\lambda\rho} \chi_- \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}/B_0$	18	$i\langle f_+^{\mu\sigma} u^\nu u_\sigma f_-^{\lambda\rho} \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} - i\langle f_+^{\mu\sigma} f_-^{\nu\lambda} u_\sigma u^\rho \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$
7	$i\langle f_+^{\mu\nu} f_-^{\lambda\rho} \chi_+ \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}/B_0 - i\langle f_+^{\mu\nu} \chi_+ f_-^{\lambda\rho} \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}/B_0$	19	$-i\langle f_+^{\mu\sigma} u^\nu f_-^{\lambda\rho} u_\sigma \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} + i\langle f_+^{\mu\sigma} u_\sigma f_-^{\nu\lambda} u^\rho \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$
8	$-\langle u_\sigma u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda h^{\rho\sigma} \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} + \langle u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda u_\sigma h^{\rho\sigma} \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$	20	$-\langle f_+^{\mu\nu} u^\lambda \nabla_\sigma f_+^{\rho\sigma} \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} + \langle f_+^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\sigma f_+^{\lambda\sigma} u^\rho \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$
9	$\langle u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda u^\rho h^\sigma{}_\sigma \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$	21	$-\langle u^\mu \nabla_\sigma f_-^{\nu\sigma} f_-^{\lambda\rho} \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} - \langle u^\mu f_-^{\nu\lambda} \nabla_\sigma f_-^{\rho\sigma} \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$
10	$\langle u_\sigma u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda f_-^{\rho\sigma} \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} - \langle u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda u_\sigma f_-^{\rho\sigma} \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$	22	$\langle f_+^{\mu\nu} f_+^{\lambda\rho} h^\sigma{}_\sigma \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$
11	$\langle u^2 u^\mu u^\nu f_-^{\lambda\rho} \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} - \langle u^\mu u^\nu u^2 f_-^{\lambda\rho} \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$	23	$\langle h^\sigma{}_\sigma f_-^{\mu\nu} f_-^{\lambda\rho} \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$
12	$i\langle f_+^{\mu\sigma} u^\nu u^\lambda h^\rho{}_\sigma \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} + i\langle f_+^{\mu\sigma} h^\nu{}_\sigma u^\lambda u^\rho \rangle \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$		

Appendix B: A, C and R matrices

In this appendix, we give matrix A_{nm}, $C_{m'n}$ and $R_{m'm}$. For convenience of writing, in practice, we do not write A matrix, but its transverse A^T multiplied by $-i$.

TABLE VII. $-i(A^T)_{mn}$ matrix

m,n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
1	0	0	0	0	32	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	−64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	−32	0	−32	0	−32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	−32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	−32	0	0	0	−32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	−32	0	−32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	−32	0	0	0	−32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	32	−32	0	−32	0	−32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	−32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	0	−32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	0	−32	0	0	0	0	64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	0	32	0	0	0	0	−64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	64	0	0	0	0	−64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	0	0	0	0	0	0	−64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	−32	−32	64	32	32	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	0	64	0	0	0	0	−64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	0	0	0	0	−32	0	−32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	0	0	−32	0	−32	0	−32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	0	0	0	0	0	−32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	0	0	0	−32	0	−32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	0	0	−32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	0	0	0	−32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	0	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
31	0	0	0	0	−32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
32	0	0	−32	0	−32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
33	0	32	0	0	0	32	−32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	0	32	0	0	0	32	−32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
35	0	−32	32	0	32	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	−32	−32	64	32	32	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
37	−32	0	32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	0	0	0	−32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40	32	0	−32	−32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41	32	0	−64	0	−32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42	0	0	−32	0	−32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	−64	0
44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−24	0	32	0
45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0
46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	−32	0
47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	0	0
48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0
49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	−32	0
50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	16	0	0	0	8	−16	0	0	0	0	0
51	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	−48	0	−32	0	−8	0	0	−8	0	0	0
52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	−8	0	0	8	8	0	0
53	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	32	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	−16	0	0
54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	16	0	0	0	−8	0	0	−8	16	0	0
55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	0	16	0	0	0	−32	0

TABLE VII (continued). $-i(A^T)_{mn}$ matrix

m,n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	−48	0	−32	0	−8	0	0	0	0	32	0
57	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	−16	0	32	0	8	0	0	16	0	−32	0
58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	0	0	−32	0	0	0	0	−8	0	32	0
59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	32	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32
60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0
61	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	32	0	0	0	0	−8	8	0	0
62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	−16	−16	−24	16	0	0
63	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	−16	16	0	0	8	0	16	24	−32	0	0
64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	−16	0	0	0	−8	0	0	−16	24	32	−32
65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	0	0	0	16	16	16	0	0	−32
66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	16	−16	0	0	−8	0	−16	−16	0	0	32
67	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	16	0	0	0	8	0	0	8	8	0	−32
68	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	32
69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	−64	−32	0	−16	0	−8	−8	64	32
70	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	80	0	64	0	8	0	0	16	8	−64	0
71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	−32	0	0	0	−8	16	0	−8	0	0	0
72	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−40	16	−16	−64	0	−8	0	−16	−40	0	64	0
73	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	−16	0	0	8	−16	16	48	−8	0	0
74	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	32	0	−32	0	0	0	0	−40	8	32	−32
75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	16	64	0	0	0	16	24	0	−64	−32
76	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	0	16	0	0	0	0	−16	−24	0	0	32
77	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	−32	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	8	0	−32
78	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	32
79	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	0	0	0	16	16	16	0	0	−32
80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	−16	−16	−24	16	0	0
81	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	−8	0	0
82	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0
83	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	−16	0	0	−8	16	16	0	−8	0	0
84	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	16	0	0	8	−16	−16	0	−8	−32	32
85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	32	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32
86	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	−32	8	−16	0	0	0	0	32
87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	0	0	32	−8	16	0	0	16	0	−32
88	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	−32	−32	0	0	0	0	−8	0	32
89	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	−16	−16	0	−32	0	96
90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	−16	16	0	0	8	0	16	−8	48	0	−64
91	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	0	0	32	−8	16	0	8	−16	0	0
92	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	−16	0	0	0	−8	0	0	0	−24	0	64
93	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	16	0	0	8	−16	−16	0	8	0	0
94	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	16	32	0	0	0	16	0	−16	−32	32
95	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	−96
96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	32
97	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	−32
98	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	32
99	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	0	−16	−32	0	0	0	−16	0	−24	32	32
100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	−8	0	0	0	24	0	−32
101	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	−16	0	−32	8	0	16	−8	0	0	0
102	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	16	16	0	0	8	−16	−16	0	−8	0	32
103	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	16	−32	0	0	−8	16	0	8	0	0	0
104	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32
105	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	0	16	0	0	0	−32	0
106	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	−8	0	0	8	8	0	0
107	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8	−16	0	0	−8	0	0
108	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	16	0	0	0	8	−16	0	0	0	0	0
109	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	0	0	0	0	−16	32	0	−16	0	0	0
110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8	−16	0	16	−8	0	0
111	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	−32	0
112	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	16	0	0	0	8	−16	0	−8	0	32	0
113	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	−8	0	0	0	8	−32	0
114	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	0	16	0	0	0	32	0

TABLE VII (continued). $-i(A^T)_{mn}$ matrix

m,n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
115	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	−32	−32	−32	0	0	0	−8	−8	32	32
116	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	0	0	32	−8	16	0	8	24	0	−32
117	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	16	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	−16	0	0
118	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	−32	8	−16	0	−8	8	0	32
119	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	−16	0	0	0	−8	0	0	0	0	0	0
120	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	32	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32
121	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	−64	0
122	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−24	0	32	0
123	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0
124	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	−32	0
125	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	0	0
126	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0
127	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	16	0	−16	−16	16	−32	0	0	−16	−16	−24	0	32	0
128	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	0	−16	−32	8	16	−16	0	0	8	16	16	24	−8	0	0
129	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	8	0	0	0	0	−8	16	0	0	8	0	0
130	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	0	−16	32	−8	32	−16	0	0	−8	0	16	−16	16	0	0
131	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	32	0	−16	0	32	0	0	16	−32	−32	0	−16	0	0
132	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	0	−16	32	8	16	−16	0	0	−8	0	16	0	8	0	0
133	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−48	−32	16	−32	−16	16	−48	−64	−32	0	0	−16	0	−16	32	0
134	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	32	−16	0	8	32	16	64	32	−8	16	16	0	16	−32	0
135	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	0	0	−8	−32	0	−64	−32	8	−16	0	−8	−8	32	0
136	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	64	0	0	16	0	64	96	64	0	0	0	8	0	−32	0
137	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	0	0	−8	0	32
138	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	−8	0	0
139	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	0	−16	−32	0	16	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	16	0	0
140	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	−16	32	0	−16	16	0	0	0	0	16	−16	24	0	0
141	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	0	32	0	8	16	−16	0	0	8	−32	−16	24	−32	0	0
142	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	0	0	−24	−32	0	−64	0	−8	16	0	−24	16	32	−32
143	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	16	0	0	−16	16	0	0	0	−16	−16	0	−24	0	32
144	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	0	−16	−32	8	0	−16	0	0	−8	32	16	0	24	0	−32
145	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	0	−16	32	−8	16	0	0	0	8	−16	0	0	−8	0	32
146	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−48	−32	16	−32	0	16	−32	−32	−32	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32
147	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	16	0	0	−16	16	0	0	0	−16	−16	0	−24	0	32
148	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	−16	32	0	−16	16	0	0	0	0	16	−16	24	0	0
149	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	32	−16	0	0	16	0	32	32	0	16	0	16	0	0	0
150	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	−8	0	0
151	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	32	0	8	−32	16	0	0	−8	−16	−16	0	−8	0	0
152	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	32	−16	0	24	16	16	64	0	8	0	16	8	0	−32	32
153	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	0	0	−8	0	32
154	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	−8	16	0	0	0	8	16	0	0	8	0	−32
155	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	−16	32	−8	0	0	0	0	−8	0	0	−8	0	0	32
156	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	16	0	0	−16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32
157	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−48	−32	16	−32	−16	16	−48	−64	−32	0	0	−16	0	−16	32	0
158	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	0	−16	32	−8	32	−16	0	0	−8	0	16	−16	16	0	0
159	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	0	0	8	−48	0	−32	−32	8	0	0	8	0	0	0
160	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	0	−16	−32	8	16	−16	0	0	8	16	16	24	−8	0	0
161	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	0	32	0	−16	32	−32	0	0	−16	0	−32	−16	0	0	0
162	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	0	−16	−32	−8	32	−16	0	0	8	16	16	8	0	0	0
163	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	16	0	−16	−16	16	−32	0	0	−16	−16	−24	0	32	0
164	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	−16	32	24	−32	16	32	0	8	0	16	24	0	−32	0
165	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	8	−48	0	−32	0	−8	16	0	−8	0	32	0
166	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	0	0	0	32	0	0	−16	0	0	0	−32	0
167	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48	64	−16	32	32	16	48	128	64	0	0	16	32	0	−64	0
168	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	0	32	−16	−32	0	−64	−32	0	−16	0	−32	0	32	0
169	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	16	−32	0	−16	16	0	0	0	0	−16	0	0	0	0
170	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	32	−16	32	16	16	16	64	32	0	0	16	16	0	−32	0
171	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0
172	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−64	−32	0	0	−16	32	−64	−64	−32	0	0	0	0	0	32	0
173	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	−64	−32	0	−16	0	−8	−8	64	32

TABLE VII (continued). $-i(A^T)_{mn}$ matrix

m,n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
174	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	80	0	64	0	8	0	0	16	8	−64	0
175	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	−32	0	0	0	−8	16	0	−8	0	0	0
176	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−40	16	−16	−64	0	−8	0	−16	−40	0	64	0
177	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	−16	0	0	8	−16	16	48	−8	0	0
178	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	32	0	−32	0	0	0	0	−40	8	32	−32
179	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	16	64	0	0	0	16	24	0	−64	−32
180	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	0	16	0	0	0	0	−16	−24	0	0	32
181	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	−32	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	8	0	−32
182	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	32
183	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	−16	−16	0	−32	0	96
184	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	−16	16	0	0	8	0	16	−8	48	0	−64
185	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	0	0	32	−8	16	0	8	−16	0	0
186	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	−16	0	0	0	−8	0	0	0	−24	0	64
187	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	16	0	0	8	−16	−16	0	8	0	0
188	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	16	32	0	0	0	16	0	−16	−32	32
189	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	−96
190	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	32
191	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	−32
192	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	32
193	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	0	−16	−32	0	0	0	−16	0	−24	32	32
194	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	−8	0	0	0	24	0	−32
195	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	−16	0	−32	8	0	16	−8	0	0	0
196	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	16	16	0	0	8	−16	−16	0	−8	0	32
197	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	16	−32	0	0	−8	16	0	8	0	0	0
198	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32
199	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−16	−32	−32	−32	0	0	0	−8	−8	32	32
200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	0	0	32	−8	16	0	8	24	0	−32
201	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	16	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	−16	0	0
202	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	−32	8	−16	0	−8	8	0	32
203	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	−16	0	0	0	−8	0	0	0	0	0	0
204	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	32	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32
205	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48	64	−16	32	32	16	48	128	64	0	0	16	32	0	−64	0
206	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	0	32	−16	−32	0	−64	−32	0	−16	0	−32	0	32	0
207	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	16	−32	0	−16	16	0	0	0	0	−16	0	0	0	0
208	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	32	−16	32	16	16	16	64	32	0	0	16	16	0	−32	0
209	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−32	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0
210	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−64	−32	0	0	−16	32	−64	−64	−32	0	0	0	0	0	32	0

From (28), C matrix is consist of two sub-matrices $\bar{C}$ and $\tilde{C}$. $\bar{C}$ matrix is

TABLE. VIII $\bar{C}_{m'n}$ matrix

m',n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	$-\frac{i}{32}$	0	$-\frac{i}{32}$	0	$\frac{i}{32}$	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	$\frac{i}{64}$	$-\frac{i}{64}$	$-\frac{i}{64}$
4	$-\frac{i}{16}$	0	$-\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	0	0
5	0	$\frac{i}{32}$	0	$\frac{i}{32}$	0	$-\frac{i}{32}$	0
6	$\frac{i}{32}$	$-\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	0	0	0
7	$-\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	$-\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	0
20	$-\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	0	0	0	0

$\tilde{C}$ matrix is

TABLE. IX $\tilde{C}_{m'n}$ matrix

m', n	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
43	$\frac{19i}{160}$	$-\frac{11i}{80}$	$-\frac{19i}{160}$	$-\frac{13i}{160}$	$-\frac{3i}{80}$	$-\frac{i}{80}$	$-\frac{7i}{160}$	$\frac{9i}{160}$	$-\frac{i}{160}$	$-\frac{13i}{80}$	$-\frac{3i}{40}$	$-\frac{i}{32}$	$-\frac{i}{40}$	$-\frac{i}{10}$	$-\frac{3i}{160}$	$-\frac{7i}{160}$
44	$\frac{31i}{320}$	$-\frac{3i}{20}$	$-\frac{21i}{320}$	$-\frac{17i}{320}$	$\frac{3i}{160}$	$\frac{i}{160}$	$-\frac{13i}{320}$	$\frac{11i}{320}$	$\frac{11i}{320}$	$-\frac{17i}{160}$	$-\frac{9i}{160}$	$\frac{i}{64}$	$-\frac{i}{20}$	$-\frac{3i}{40}$	$-\frac{i}{160}$	$-\frac{3i}{320}$
49	$-\frac{i}{16}$	$\frac{3i}{32}$	$\frac{3i}{32}$	$\frac{i}{16}$	$\frac{i}{16}$	$\frac{i}{32}$	$\frac{i}{32}$	$-\frac{i}{16}$	0	$\frac{i}{8}$	$\frac{i}{16}$	$\frac{i}{32}$	0	$\frac{i}{16}$	0	$\frac{i}{64}$
50	0	0	0	0	0	0	$-\frac{i}{32}$	0	$\frac{i}{32}$	0	$-\frac{i}{16}$	$\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	0	0
51	$-\frac{5i}{64}$	$\frac{3i}{32}$	$\frac{5i}{64}$	$\frac{3i}{64}$	$\frac{i}{32}$	0	$\frac{i}{64}$	$-\frac{3i}{64}$	$\frac{i}{64}$	$\frac{3i}{32}$	$\frac{i}{32}$	$\frac{3i}{64}$	0	$\frac{i}{16}$	0	$\frac{i}{32}$
52	$\frac{5i}{32}$	$-\frac{3i}{16}$	$-\frac{5i}{32}$	$-\frac{3i}{32}$	0	0	$-\frac{i}{16}$	$\frac{i}{16}$	$\frac{i}{32}$	$-\frac{i}{4}$	$-\frac{i}{8}$	$-\frac{i}{16}$	0	$-\frac{i}{8}$	0	$-\frac{i}{32}$
54	$-\frac{3i}{32}$	$\frac{i}{32}$	$\frac{5i}{32}$	$\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	$\frac{i}{16}$	$-\frac{i}{32}$	0	$\frac{i}{8}$	$\frac{i}{16}$	$\frac{i}{8}$	0	$\frac{i}{8}$	0	$\frac{i}{16}$
57	$-\frac{3i}{64}$	$\frac{i}{32}$	$\frac{7i}{64}$	$\frac{i}{64}$	$\frac{i}{32}$	0	$\frac{i}{64}$	$-\frac{i}{64}$	$\frac{i}{64}$	$\frac{3i}{32}$	$\frac{i}{32}$	$\frac{3i}{64}$	0	$\frac{i}{16}$	0	$\frac{i}{32}$
59	0	$-\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	$-\frac{i}{32}$	$\frac{i}{32}$	$-\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	0	$\frac{i}{32}$	0	$-\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	$-\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	0	0
63	$-\frac{i}{32}$	$\frac{i}{32}$	$\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	0	$\frac{i}{32}$	0	$-\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	$\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	0	0
64	0	$\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$-\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$-\frac{i}{32}$
127	$\frac{i}{32}$	0	$\frac{i}{32}$	$-\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
128	$\frac{i}{32}$	$-\frac{i}{32}$	$-\frac{i}{32}$	$-\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
133	$-\frac{i}{32}$	$\frac{i}{32}$	$\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
134	$-\frac{i}{32}$	$\frac{i}{16}$	$\frac{i}{32}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix C: Final Analytical Result on $\tilde{K}_n^W$

In this appendix, we list our analytical result on 23 LECs for p^6 order anomalous part of the chiral Lagrangian,

$$\tilde{K}_1^W = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[-\frac{1}{2}k^2\Sigma_k^3X^5-\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_k^5X^5+\frac{3}{4}k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'X^5+\frac{3}{4}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'X^5\Big]$$

$$\tilde{K}_2^W = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[-\frac{1}{4}\Sigma_k^5X^5+\frac{1}{8}k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'X^5+\frac{5}{8}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'X^5\Big]$$

$$\tilde{K}_3^W = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[-\frac{1}{4}k^2\Sigma_k^3X^5-\frac{1}{4}\Sigma_k^5X^5+\frac{1}{2}k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'X^5+\frac{1}{2}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'X^5\Big]$$

$$\tilde{K}_4^W = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[-\frac{1}{4}k^2\Sigma_k^3X^5-\frac{1}{4}\Sigma_k^5X^5+\frac{1}{2}k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'X^5+\frac{1}{2}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'X^5\Big]$$

$$\tilde{K}_5^W = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[\frac{3}{16}k^2\Sigma_k^3X^5+\frac{3}{16}\Sigma_k^5X^5-\frac{1}{16}k^6\Sigma_k'X^5-\frac{1}{2}k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'X^5-\frac{7}{16}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'X^5\Big]$$

$$\tilde{K}_6^W = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[\frac{1}{16}k^2\Sigma_k^3X^5+\frac{1}{16}\Sigma_k^5X^5-\frac{1}{8}k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'X^5-\frac{1}{8}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'X^5]$$

$$\tilde{K}_7^W = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[-\frac{1}{16}k^2\Sigma_k^3X^5-\frac{1}{16}\Sigma_k^5X^5+\frac{1}{32}k^6\Sigma_k'X^5+\frac{3}{16}k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'X^5+\frac{5}{32}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'X^5\Big]$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{K}_8^W = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[&(\frac{9}{40}k^2\Sigma_k''-\frac{1}{40}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''+\frac{3}{40}k^4\Sigma_k'''+\frac{1}{180}k^2\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''')k^2\Sigma_kX^4+(-\frac{29}{80}k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'+\frac{17}{80}k^2\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'+\frac{3}{40}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'\\
&+\frac{7}{16}k^6\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{3}{4}k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{3}{16}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{67}{240}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k''+\frac{31}{120}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k''+\frac{3}{80}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k''+\frac{27}{80}k^8\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''-\frac{1}{2}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''\\
&+\frac{13}{80}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k'')X^5+(-\frac{17}{80}k^4\Sigma_k^2-\frac{1}{8}k^2\Sigma_k^4-\frac{3}{80}\Sigma_k^6+\frac{151}{240}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'-\frac{5}{8}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'+\frac{13}{80}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'-\frac{59}{120}k^8\Sigma_k'^2\\
&+\frac{893}{480}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{217}{240}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{39}{160}k^2\Sigma_k^6\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{293}{240}k^8\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'^3+\frac{5}{8}k^6\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'^3-\frac{39}{80}k^4\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'^3)X^6\Big]\end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{K}_9^W = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[(-\frac{7}{40}k^2\Sigma_k''+\frac{3}{40}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''-\frac{7}{120}k^4\Sigma_k'''-\frac{1}{360}k^2\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''')k^2\Sigma_kX^4+(\frac{37}{80}k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'-\frac{1}{80}k^2\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'+\frac{1}{40}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{11}{16}k^6\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{1}{4}k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{1}{16}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{27}{80}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k''-\frac{1}{15}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k''+\frac{1}{80}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k''-\frac{8}{15}k^8\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''-\frac{1}{6}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''\\
&-\frac{7}{15}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k'')X^5+(\frac{8}{15}k^4\Sigma_k^2+\frac{1}{4}k^2\Sigma_k^4+\frac{3}{10}\Sigma_k^6-\frac{139}{120}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'+\frac{7}{12}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'-\frac{17}{40}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'+\frac{17}{20}k^8\Sigma_k'^2\\
&-\frac{317}{240}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{23}{120}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{51}{80}k^2\Sigma_k^6\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{197}{120}k^8\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'^3+\frac{11}{12}k^6\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'^3+\frac{51}{40}k^4\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'^3)X^6\Big]\\
\tilde{K}_{10}^W &= \int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[(-\frac{33}{80}k^2\Sigma_k''+\frac{27}{80}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''-\frac{11}{80}k^4\Sigma_k'''+\frac{71}{720}k^2\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''')k^2\Sigma_kX^4+(\frac{17}{40}k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'-\frac{31}{40}k^2\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'+\frac{3}{10}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'\\
&-\frac{1}{4}k^6\Sigma_k'^2+2k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{3}{4}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{107}{240}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k''-\frac{217}{240}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k''+\frac{3}{20}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k''-\frac{7}{30}k^8\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''+\frac{23}{12}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''\\
&-\frac{17}{20}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k'')X^5+(\frac{11}{240}k^4\Sigma_k^2-\frac{7}{8}k^2\Sigma_k^4+\frac{43}{80}\Sigma_k^6-\frac{37}{80}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'+\frac{71}{24}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'-\frac{213}{80}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'+\frac{29}{120}k^8\Sigma_k'^2\\
&-\frac{641}{160}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{1127}{240}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{89}{160}k^2\Sigma_k^6\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{283}{240}k^8\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'^3-\frac{97}{24}k^6\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'^3+\frac{89}{80}k^4\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'^3)X^6\Big]\\
\tilde{K}_{11}^W &= \int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[(-\frac{17}{160}k^2\Sigma_k''+\frac{3}{160}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''-\frac{7}{180}k^4\Sigma_k'''+\frac{1}{360}k^2\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''')k^2\Sigma_kX^4+(\frac{9}{40}k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'-\frac{1}{20}k^2\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'-\frac{1}{40}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'\\
&-\frac{5}{16}k^6\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{1}{4}k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{1}{16}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{49}{240}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k''-\frac{7}{120}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k''-\frac{1}{80}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k''-\frac{31}{120}k^8\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''+\frac{1}{8}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''\\
&-\frac{7}{60}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k'')X^5+(\frac{9}{80}k^4\Sigma_k^2+\frac{1}{80}\Sigma_k^6-\frac{3}{10}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'+\frac{1}{2}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'+\frac{1}{20}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'+\frac{2}{5}k^8\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{541}{480}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2\\
&-\frac{41}{240}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{23}{160}k^2\Sigma_k^6\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{221}{240}k^8\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'^3+\frac{5}{24}k^6\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'^3+\frac{23}{80}k^4\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'^3)X^6\Big]\\
\tilde{K}_{12}^W &= \int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[(-\frac{1}{40}k^2\Sigma_k''-\frac{1}{40}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''-\frac{1}{120}k^4\Sigma_k'''+\frac{7}{360}k^2\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''')k^2\Sigma_kX^4+(-\frac{1}{20}k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'-\frac{1}{10}k^2\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'-\frac{1}{20}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'\\
&+\frac{1}{8}k^6\Sigma_k'^2X^5+\frac{1}{4}k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{1}{8}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{1}{120}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k''-\frac{1}{5}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k''-\frac{1}{40}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k''+\frac{7}{80}k^8\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''+\frac{7}{24}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''\\
&-\frac{31}{240}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k'')X^5+(-\frac{53}{120}k^4\Sigma_k^2-\frac{1}{2}k^2\Sigma_k^4+\frac{1}{40}\Sigma_k^6+\frac{11}{15}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'+\frac{5}{6}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'-\frac{2}{5}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'-\frac{7}{60}k^8\Sigma_k'^2\\
&-\frac{37}{80}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{59}{120}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{13}{80}k^2\Sigma_k^6\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{3}{40}k^8\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'^3-\frac{5}{12}k^6\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'^3+\frac{13}{40}k^4\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'^3)X^6\Big]\\
\tilde{K}_{13}^W &= \int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[(\frac{1}{80}k^2\Sigma_k''+\frac{1}{80}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''+\frac{1}{240}k^4\Sigma_k'''+\frac{1}{240}k^2\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''')k^2\Sigma_kX^4+(-\frac{1}{80}k^2\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'-\frac{3}{80}k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'+\frac{1}{40}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'\\
&+\frac{1}{16}k^6\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{1}{16}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{1}{60}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k''-\frac{1}{240}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k''+\frac{1}{80}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k''+\frac{7}{240}k^8\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''-\frac{7}{240}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k'')X^5\\
&+(-\frac{7}{40}k^4\Sigma_k^2-\frac{1}{8}k^2\Sigma_k^4+\frac{1}{20}\Sigma_k^6+\frac{41}{120}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'+\frac{1}{6}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'-\frac{7}{40}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'-\frac{1}{15}k^8\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{13}{240}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2\\
&+\frac{13}{120}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{1}{80}k^2\Sigma_k^6\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{13}{120}k^8\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'^3-\frac{1}{12}k^6\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'^3+\frac{1}{40}k^4\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'^3)X^6\Big]\\
\tilde{K}_{14}^W &= \int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[(-\frac{9}{80}k^2\Sigma_k''+\frac{11}{80}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''-\frac{2}{45}k^4\Sigma_k'''+\frac{1}{40}k^2\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''')k^2\Sigma_kX^4+(\frac{7}{80}k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'-\frac{21}{80}k^2\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'+\frac{3}{20}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'\\
&+\frac{5}{8}k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{3}{8}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{13}{120}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k''-\frac{19}{60}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k''+\frac{3}{40}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k''-\frac{1}{30}k^8\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''+\frac{13}{24}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''\\
&-\frac{17}{40}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k'')X^5+(\frac{13}{240}k^4\Sigma_k^2+\frac{19}{80}\Sigma_k^6+\frac{1}{120}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'+\frac{7}{12}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'-\frac{47}{40}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'-\frac{1}{40}k^8\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{113}{160}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2\\
&+\frac{197}{80}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{57}{160}k^2\Sigma_k^6\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{7}{80}k^8\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'^3-\frac{41}{24}k^6\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'^3+\frac{57}{80}k^4\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'^3)X^6\Big]\\
\tilde{K}_{15}^W &= \int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[(\frac{11}{160}k^2\Sigma_k''-\frac{9}{160}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''+\frac{19}{720}k^4\Sigma_k'''-\frac{11}{720}k^2\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''')k^2\Sigma_kX^4+(-\frac{9}{80}k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'+\frac{7}{80}k^2\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'\\
&-\frac{1}{20}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'+\frac{1}{8}k^6\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{1}{4}k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{1}{8}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{2}{15}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k''+\frac{11}{120}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k''-\frac{1}{40}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k''+\frac{19}{160}k^8\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''\\
&-\frac{3}{16}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''+\frac{31}{160}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k'')X^5+(\frac{3}{80}k^4\Sigma_k^2-\frac{13}{80}\Sigma_k^6+\frac{1}{40}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'-\frac{1}{4}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'+\frac{19}{40}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{5}k^8\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{343}{480}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{97}{240}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{29}{160}k^2\Sigma_k^6\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{103}{240}k^8\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'^3+\frac{5}{24}k^6\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'^3-\frac{29}{80}k^4\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'^3)X^6\Big]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{K}_{16}^W = \int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[&(-\frac{7}{80}k^2\Sigma_k''-\frac{7}{80}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''-\frac{1}{45}k^4\Sigma_k'''-\frac{1}{120}k^2\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''')k^2\Sigma_kX^4+(\frac{3}{40}k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'-\frac{1}{10}k^2\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'-\frac{7}{40}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'\\
&-\frac{1}{16}k^6\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{3}{8}k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{7}{16}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{23}{240}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k''+\frac{1}{120}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k''-\frac{7}{80}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k''-\frac{3}{80}k^8\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''+\frac{5}{12}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''\\
&+\frac{109}{240}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k'')X^5+(-\frac{61}{240}k^4\Sigma_k^2-\frac{3}{8}k^2\Sigma_k^4-\frac{13}{80}\Sigma_k^6+\frac{23}{120}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'+\frac{2}{3}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'+\frac{29}{40}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'+\frac{1}{20}k^8\Sigma_k'^2\\
&-\frac{209}{160}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{109}{80}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{79}{160}k^2\Sigma_k^6\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{49}{80}k^8\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'^3-\frac{1}{24}k^6\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'^3-\frac{79}{80}k^4\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'^3)X^6\Big]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{K}_{17}^W = \int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[&(-\frac{2}{5}k^2\Sigma_k''+\frac{1}{10}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''-\frac{2}{15}k^4\Sigma_k'''+\frac{11}{180}k^2\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''')k^2\Sigma_kX^4+(\frac{23}{40}k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'-\frac{19}{40}k^2\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'-\frac{1}{20}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'\\
&-\frac{5}{8}k^6\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{3}{2}k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{1}{8}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{8}{15}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k''-\frac{79}{120}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k''-\frac{1}{40}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k''-\frac{119}{240}k^8\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''\\
&+\frac{29}{24}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''-\frac{151}{240}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k'')X^5+(\frac{37}{120}k^4\Sigma_k^2-\frac{1}{2}k^2\Sigma_k^4-\frac{9}{40}\Sigma_k^6-\frac{14}{15}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'+\frac{8}{3}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'+\frac{1}{10}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'\\
&+\frac{43}{60}k^8\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{1031}{240}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{53}{40}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{53}{80}k^2\Sigma_k^6\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{271}{120}k^8\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'^3-\frac{13}{12}k^6\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'^3+\frac{53}{40}k^4\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'^3)X^6\Big]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{K}_{18}^W = \int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[&(-\frac{9}{80}k^2\Sigma_k''+\frac{11}{80}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''-\frac{2}{45}k^4\Sigma_k'''+\frac{7}{180}k^2\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''')k^2\Sigma_kX^4+(\frac{3}{20}k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'-\frac{1}{5}k^2\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'+\frac{3}{20}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'\\
&-\frac{1}{8}k^6\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{1}{2}k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{3}{8}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{13}{120}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k''-\frac{19}{60}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k''+\frac{3}{40}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k''-\frac{7}{60}k^8\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''+\frac{1}{4}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''\\
&-\frac{19}{30}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k'')X^5+(-\frac{1}{20}k^4\Sigma_k^2-\frac{1}{8}k^2\Sigma_k^4+\frac{7}{40}\Sigma_k^6+\frac{2}{15}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'+\frac{7}{12}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'-\frac{21}{20}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'+\frac{1}{10}k^8\Sigma_k'^2\\
&-\frac{97}{240}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{223}{120}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{51}{80}k^2\Sigma_k^6\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{17}{120}k^8\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'^3-\frac{7}{12}k^6\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'^3+\frac{51}{40}k^4\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'^3)X^6\Big]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{K}_{19}^W = \int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[&(-\frac{1}{4}k^2\Sigma_k''+\frac{1}{4}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''-\frac{1}{12}k^4\Sigma_k'''+\frac{5}{72}k^2\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''')k^2\Sigma_kX^4+(\frac{3}{16}k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'-\frac{9}{16}k^2\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'+\frac{1}{4}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'\\
&+\frac{11}{8}k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{5}{8}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{1}{4}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k''-\frac{5}{8}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k''+\frac{1}{8}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k''-\frac{1}{24}k^8\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''+\frac{37}{24}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''\\
&-\frac{5}{12}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k'')X^5+(-\frac{1}{48}k^4\Sigma_k^2-\frac{1}{4}k^2\Sigma_k^4+\frac{5}{16}\Sigma_k^6-\frac{1}{6}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'+\frac{4}{3}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'-\frac{7}{4}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'-\frac{1}{24}k^8\Sigma_k'^2\\
&-\frac{91}{32}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{173}{48}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{3}{32}k^2\Sigma_k^6\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{25}{48}k^8\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'^3-\frac{29}{8}k^6\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'^3+\frac{3}{16}k^4\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'^3)X^6\Big]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{K}_{20}^W = \int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[&(\frac{1}{40}k^2\Sigma_k''+\frac{1}{40}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''-\frac{1}{180}k^4\Sigma_k'''-\frac{1}{180}k^2\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''')k^2\Sigma_kX^4+(\frac{1}{20}k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'+\frac{1}{10}k^2\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'+\frac{1}{20}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'\\
&-\frac{1}{8}k^6\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{1}{4}k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{1}{8}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{11}{120}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k''+\frac{7}{60}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k''+\frac{1}{40}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k''-\frac{13}{120}k^8\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''\\
&-\frac{1}{12}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''+\frac{1}{40}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k'')X^5+(\frac{3}{20}k^4\Sigma_k^2+\frac{1}{4}k^2\Sigma_k^4+\frac{1}{10}\Sigma_k^6-\frac{2}{5}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'-\frac{1}{2}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'-\frac{1}{10}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'\\
&+\frac{1}{5}k^8\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{1}{10}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{1}{5}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{1}{10}k^2\Sigma_k^6\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{1}{5}k^8\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'^3-\frac{1}{5}k^4\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'^3)X^6\Big]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{K}_{21}^W = \int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[&(-\frac{11}{40}k^2\Sigma_k''+\frac{9}{40}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''-\frac{11}{120}k^4\Sigma_k'''+\frac{3}{40}k^2\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k''')k^2\Sigma_kX^4+(\frac{13}{40}k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'-\frac{19}{40}k^2\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'+\frac{1}{5}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'\\
&-\frac{1}{4}k^6\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{5}{4}k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{1}{2}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{13}{40}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k''-\frac{23}{40}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k''+\frac{1}{10}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k''-\frac{11}{60}k^8\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''+\frac{5}{4}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k''\\
&-\frac{17}{30}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'\Sigma_k'')X^5+(\frac{1}{10}k^4\Sigma_k^2-\frac{1}{4}k^2\Sigma_k^4+\frac{3}{20}\Sigma_k^6-\frac{31}{60}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'+\frac{4}{3}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'-\frac{23}{20}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'+\frac{3}{10}k^8\Sigma_k'^2\\
&-\frac{169}{60}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{38}{15}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{7}{20}k^2\Sigma_k^6\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{29}{30}k^8\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'^3-\frac{7}{3}k^6\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'^3+\frac{7}{10}k^4\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'^3)X^6\Big]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{K}^W_{22} &= \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[(-\frac{1}{80}k^2\Sigma''_k - \frac{1}{80}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma''_k - \frac{1}{240}k^4\Sigma'''_k - \frac{1}{240}k^2\Sigma_k^2\Sigma'''_k)k^2\Sigma_k X^4 + (\frac{3}{80}k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma'_k + \frac{1}{80}k^2\Sigma_k^3\Sigma'_k - \frac{1}{40}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma'_k \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{16}k^6\Sigma_k'^2 + \frac{1}{16}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2 + \frac{3}{80}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma''_k + \frac{1}{40}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma''_k - \frac{1}{80}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma''_k - \frac{19}{480}k^8\Sigma'_k\Sigma''_k + \frac{1}{48}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma'_k\Sigma''_k \\
&\quad +\frac{29}{480}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma'_k\Sigma''_k)X^5 + (-\frac{1}{80}k^4\Sigma_k^2 - \frac{1}{16}k^2\Sigma_k^4 - \frac{1}{20}\Sigma_k^6 + \frac{1}{80}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma'_k + \frac{1}{4}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma'_k + \frac{19}{80}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma'_k + \frac{1}{40}k^8\Sigma_k'^2 \\
&\quad -\frac{13}{40}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2 - \frac{11}{40}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2 + \frac{3}{40}k^2\Sigma_k^6\Sigma_k'^2 + \frac{3}{20}k^8\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'^3 - \frac{3}{20}k^4\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'^3)X^6\Big] \\
\tilde{K}^W_{23} &= \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[(-\frac{23}{160}k^2\Sigma''_k + \frac{17}{160}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma''_k - \frac{23}{480}k^4\Sigma'''_k + \frac{17}{480}k^2\Sigma_k^2\Sigma'''_k)k^2\Sigma_k X^4 + (\frac{19}{160}k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma'_k - \frac{47}{160}k^2\Sigma_k^3\Sigma'_k + \frac{7}{80}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma'_k \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{32}k^6\Sigma_k'^2 + \frac{3}{4}k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2 - \frac{7}{32}k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2 + \frac{31}{240}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma''_k - \frac{157}{480}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma''_k + \frac{7}{160}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma''_k - \frac{11}{480}k^8\Sigma'_k\Sigma''_k + \frac{19}{24}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma'_k\Sigma''_k \\
&\quad -\frac{89}{480}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma'_k\Sigma''_k)X^5 + (-\frac{9}{80}k^4\Sigma_k^2 - \frac{3}{16}k^2\Sigma_k^4 + \frac{7}{40}\Sigma_k^6 + \frac{1}{120}k^6\Sigma_k\Sigma'_k + \frac{7}{12}k^4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma'_k - \frac{37}{40}k^2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma'_k + \frac{1}{60}k^8\Sigma_k'^2 \\
&\quad -\frac{223}{160}k^6\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2 + \frac{371}{240}k^4\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2 - \frac{7}{160}k^2\Sigma_k^6\Sigma_k'^2 + \frac{23}{80}k^8\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'^3 - \frac{13}{8}k^6\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'^3 + \frac{7}{80}k^4\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k'^3)X^6\Big]
\end{aligned}
\tag{C1}
$$

where B_0 is the LEC appear in p^2 order normal part of the chiral Lagrangian. $\Sigma_k \equiv \Sigma(k^2)$ and $X \equiv \frac{1}{k^2+\Sigma_k^2}$.